Ref#	Cycle #	Topic		SORT Grade or Source	Fulltext or Citation Link	Abstract	Comments by Reviewer
Cycle 1: D	isability due	e to back pain desp	ite conservative therapy				
1		Measurement of Disability	Brodke DS, Goz V, Voss MW, Lawrence BD, Spiker WR, Hung M. PROMIS PF CAT Outperforms the ODI and SF-36 Physical Function Domain in Spine Patients. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2017 Jun 15;42(12):921-929. PMID: 27792105	t/B	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	STUDY DESIGN: The Oswestry Disability Index v2.0 (ODI), SF36 Physical Function Domain (SF-36 PFD), and PROMIS Physical Function CAT v1.2 (PF CAT) questionnaires were prospectively collected from 1607 patients complaining of back or leg pain, visiting a university-based spine clinic. All questionnaires were collected electronically, using a tablet computer. OBJECTIVE: The aim of this study was to compare the psychometric properties of the PROMIS PF CAT with the ODI and SF36 Physical Function Domain in the same patient population. SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND DATA: Evidence-based decision-making is improved by using high-quality patient-reported outcomes measures. Prior studies have revealed the shortcomings of the ODI and SF36, commonly used in spine patients. The PROMIS Network has developed measures with excellent psychometric properties. The Physical Function domain, delivered by Computerized Adaptive Testing (PF CAT), performs well in the spine patient population, though to-date direct comparisons with common measures have not been performed. METHODS: Standard Rasch analysis was performed to directly compare the psychometrics of the PF CAT, ODI, and SF36 PFD. Spearman correlations were computed to examine the correlations of the three instruments. Time required for administration was also recorded. RESULTS: One thousand six hundred seven patients were administered all assessments. The time required to answer all items in the PF CAT, ODI, and SF-36 PFD was 44, 169, and 99 seconds. The ceiling and floor effects were excellent for the PF CAT (0.81%, 3.86%), while the ceiling effects were marginal and floor effects quite poor for the ODI (6.91% and 44.24%) and SF-36 PFD (5.97% and 23.65%). All instruments significantly correlated with each other. CONCLUSION: The PROMIS PF CAT outperforms the ODI and SF-36 PFD in the spine patient population and is highly correlated. It has better coverage, while taking less time to administer with fewer questions to answer.	Prospective study compared 1607 patient complaining of back or leg pain with regard to 3 PROS: PF CAT, ODI, and SF36 PDF. PF CAT required less time to completion, correlates with the two other pros and had better statistical attributes. > PROMIS PF CAT performs rapidly and well as a patient reported outcome measure
2		Measurement of Disability	Papuga MO, Mesfin A, Molinari R, Rubery PT. Correlation of PROMIS Physical Function and Pain CAT Instruments With Oswestry Disability Index and Neck Disability Index in Spine Patients. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2016 Jul 15;41(14):1153-9. PMID: 26909832	t/B (minus)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/artiles/PMC4938742/	STUDY DESIGN: A prospective and retrospective cross-sectional cohort analysis. OBJECTIVE: The aim of this study was to show that Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) computer adaptive testing (CAT) assessments for physical function and pain interference can be efficiently collected in a standard office visit and to evaluate these scores with scores from previously validated Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) and Neck Disability Index (NDI) providing evidence of convergent validity for use in patients with spine pathology. SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND DATA: Spinal surgery outcomes are highly variable, and substantial debate continues regarding the role and value of spine surgery. The routine collection of patient-based outcomes instruments in spine surgery patients may inform this debate. Traditionally, the inefficiency associated with collecting standard validated instruments has been a barrier to routine use in outpatient clinics. We utilized several CAT instruments available through PROMIS and correlated these with the results obtained using "gold standard" legacy outcomes measurement instruments. METHODS: All measurements were collected at a routine clinical visit. The ODI and the NDI assessments were used as "gold standard" comparisons for patient-reported outcomes. RESULTS: PROMIS CAT instruments required 4.5±1.8 questions and took 35±16 seconds to complete, compared with ODI/NDI requiring 10 questions and taking 188±85 seconds when administered electronically. Linear regression analysis of retrospective scores involving a primary back complaint revealed moderate to strong correlations between ODI and PROMIS physical function with r values ranging from 0.5846 to 0.8907 depending on the specific assessment and patient subsets examined. CONCLUSION: Routine collection of physical function outcome measures in clinical practice offers the ability to inform and improve patient care. We have shown that several PROMIS CAT instruments can be efficiently administered during routine clinical visits	Lower quality study that included prospective and retrospective cohorts in which completion time for PROMIS CATs was compared to ODI/NDI (Neck Disability Index). Authors report of a rapid completion time for PROMIS CATs with variable correlation with ODI/NDI depending on cohort. Did not separate PROMIS PF and PROMIS PI assessments. > Less robust study than study by Brodke, et al suggests that PROMIS CAT tools can be administered efficiently in an outpatient setting.

3	Measurement of Disability	Kendall R, Wagner B, Brodke D, Bounsanga J, Voss M, Gu Y, Spiker R, Lawrence B, Hung M. The Relationship of PROMIS Pain Interference and Physical Function Scales. Pain Med. 2017 Dec 7. doi: 10.1093/pm/pnx310. [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 29228284	2/B	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	Objectives: To examine the relationship between the Patient Reported Outcome Measurement Information System (PROMIS) Pain Interference (PI) and PROMIS Physical Function (PF) scales in patients with spinal pain at a university spine center. Design: Retrospective analysis of prospectively collected patient-reported outcome data at a university spine clinic. Pearson correlation was done to examine the relationship of the PROMIS PF and PROMIS PI scores. Age, gender, and race were analyzed by subgroups on the PROMIS Physical Function and Pain Interference score. Linear regression analyzed predictive relationships. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Results: A total of 1,992 participants completed an assessment, with 1,923 completing the PF CAT and 1,927 the PI CAT. Participants' mean age was 52.8 years (range = 18-94 years, SD = 6.5 years). Correlation analysis of the PROMIS PF with the PROMIS PI showed a Pearson correlation value of -0.717 (P < 0.05). There was a strong linear relationship with a high negative correlation between PF CAT and PI CAT. The PI CAT predicted PF CAT scores (β = -0.707, P < 0.001). Conclusions: For patients with pain from spinal origin, there is a strong negative correlation between self-reported physical function and pain interference related to physical, social, and mental health. The predictive relationship of function from pain scores supports the PROMIS PI being used as an important adjunct measure of physical function in patients with spinal pain.	A retrospective analysis comparing 1900 patients with spine pain with respect to results of PF CAT and PI CAT. Study demonstrated a strong linear correlation predicting function from pain scores. > Pain scores have predictive relationship to function and may add value to assessing disability in patients with spine pain.
4	Measurement of Disability	Roland M, Morris R. A study of the natural history of back pain. Part I: development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low-back pain. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1983 Mar;8(2):141-4. PMID: 6222486	2/B	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	No abstract available	Single institution study of a small number of patients with back pain in which function scores were correlated with pain scores. Limited statistical analysis. Questionaire is rapidly completed by patients and in wide use. In this study statistical validation was less robust than for some other patient reported outcome measures.
5	Measurement of Disability	Revicki DA, Kawata AK, Harnam N, Chen WH, Hays RD, Cella D. Predicting EuroQol (EQ-5D) scores from the patient-reported outcomes measurement information system (PROMIS) global items and domain item banks in a United States sample. Qual Life Res. 2009 Aug;18(5):783-91. PMID: 19472072	2/8	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/artic les/PMC2704290/	BACKGROUND: Preference-based health index scores provide a single summary score assessing overall health-related quality of life and are useful as an outcome measure in clinical studies, for estimating quality-adjusted life years for economic evaluations, and for monitoring the health of populations. We predicted EuroQol. (EQ-5D) index scores from patient-reported outcomes measurement information system (PROMIS) global items and domain item banks. METHODS: This was a secondary analysis of health outcome data collected in an internet survey as part of the PROMIS Wave 1 field testing. For this study, we included the 10 global items and the physical function, fatigue, pain impact, anxiety, and depression item banks. Linear regression analyses were used to predict EQ-5D index scores based on the global items and selected domain banks. RESULTS: The regression models using eight of the PROMIS global items (quality of life, physical activities, mental health, emotional problems, social activities, pain, and fatigue and either general health or physical health items) explained 65% of the variance in the EQ-5D. When the PROMIS domain scores were included in a regression model, 57% of the variance was explained in EQ-5D scores. Comparisons of predicted to actual EQ-5D scores by age and gender groups showed that they were similar. CONCLUSIONS: EQ-5D preference scores can be predicted accurately from either the PROMIS global items or selected domain banks. Application of the derived regression model allows the estimation of health preference scores from the PROMIS health measures for use in economic evaluations.	Study correlating selected PROMIS domains with EQ-5D that features a single numberical score for quality of life that has been applied to economic analysis. Cohort included a broad array of medical conditions including heart disease, cancer, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis,
6	Measurement of Disability	Amtmann D, Cook KF, Jensen MP, Chen WH, Choi S, Revicki D, Cella D, Rothrock N, Keefe F, Callahan L, Lai JS. Development of a PROMIS item bank to measure pain interference. Pain. 2010 Jul;150(1):173-82. PMID: 20554116	2/B	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/artic les/PMC2916053/	This paper describes the psychometric properties of the PROMIS-pain interference (PROMIS-PI) bank. An initial candidate item pool (n=644) was developed and evaluated based on the review of existing instruments, interviews with patients, and consultation with pain experts. From this pool, a candidate item bank of 56 items was selected and responses to the items were collected from large community and clinical samples. A total of 14,848 participants responded to all or a subset of candidate items. The responses were calibrated using an item response theory (IRT) model. A final 41-item bank was evaluated with respect to IRT assumptions, model fit, differential item function (DIF), precision, and construct and concurrent validity. Items of the revised bank had good fit to the IRT model (CFI and NNFI/TLI ranged from 0.974 to 0.997), and the data were strongly unidimensional (e.g., ratio of first and second eigenvalue=35). Nine items exhibited statistically significant DIF. However, adjusting for DIF had little practical impact on score estimates and the items were retained without modifying scoring. Scores provided substantial information across levels of pain; for scores in the T-score range 50-80, the reliability was equivalent to 0.96-0.99. Patterns of correlations with other health outcomes supported the construct validity of the item bank. The scores discriminated among persons with different numbers of chronic conditions, disabiling conditions, levels of self-reported health, and pain intensity (p<0.0001). The results indicated that the PROMIS-PI items constitute a psychometrically sound bank. Computerized adaptive testing and short forms are available.	Investigators, with responses from 14,848 participants, developed a pain interference bank of questions from the larger PROMIS domains. Statistical analysis indicated that the PROMIS PI items constitute "psychometrically sound bank" that can be used in computerized adaptive testing. > A subset of PROMIS questions can be used to measure the effect of pain on interfering with outcomes reported by patients.
7	Measurement of Disability	Dworkin RH(1), Turk DC, Farrar JT, Haythornthwaite JA, et al. Core outcome measures for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. Pain. 2005 Jan;113(1-2):9-19. PMID: 15621359	Consensus based recommendations	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	No abstract available	Recommentations of an international consensus conference on outcome measures for chronic pain trials. -> Contains recommended clinical measures

Page 2 of 33 September 2014

8	1	Measurement of Disability	Turk DC, Dworkin RH, Revicki D, Harding G, Burke LB, Cella D, Cleeland CS, Cowan P, Farrar JT, Hertz S, Max MB, Rappaport BA. Identifying important outcome domains for chronic pain clinical trials: an IMMPACT survey of people with pain. Pain. 2008 Jul 15;137(2):276-85. PMID: 17937976	3/C	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	This two-phase study was conducted to identify relevant domains of patient-reported outcomes from the perspective of people who experience chronic pain. In Phase 1, focus groups were conducted to generate a pool of patient outcome-related domains and their components. The results of the focus groups identified 19 aspects of their lives that were significantly impacted by the presence of their symptoms and for which improvements were important criteria they would use in evaluating the effectiveness of any treatment. Phase 2 was conducted to examine the importance and relevance of domains identified from a much larger and diverse sample of people with chronic pain. A survey was developed and posted on the American Chronic Pain Association website. Participants were asked to rate the importance of each item or domain identified by the focus groups on a scale of 0 to 10 (i.e., 0="not at all important" and 10="extremely important"). The survey was completed by 959 individuals. The results indicate that all 19 aspects of daily life derived from the focus groups were considered important with a majority of respondents indicating a score of 8 or greater. In addition to pain reduction, the most important aspects were enjoyment of life, emotional well-being, fatigue, weakness, and sleep-related problems. Chronic pain clearly impacts health-related quality of life. The results of the two phases of the study indicate that people with chronic pain consider functioning and well-being as important areas affected by the presence of symptoms and as appropriate targets of treatment. These multiple outcomes should be considered when evaluating the efficacy and effectiveness of chronic pain treatments.	take the survey. > Authors conclude that "people with chronic pain consider functioning and well-being as
9	l	Measurement of Disability	Hays RD, Bjorner JB, Revicki DA, Spritzer KL, Cella D. Development of physical and mental health summary scores from the patient-reported outcomes measurement information system (PROMIS) global items. Qual Life Res. 2009 Sep;18(7):873-80. PMID: 19543809	2/B	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/artiles/PMC2724630/	BACKGROUND: The use of global health items permits an efficient way of gathering general perceptions of health. These items provide useful summary information about health and are predictive of health care utilization and subsequent mortality. METHODS: Analyses of 10 self-reported global health items obtained from an internet survey as part of the Patient-Reported Outcome Measurement Information System (PROMIS) project. We derived summary scores from the global health items. We estimated the associations of the summary scores with the EQ-5D index score and the PROMIS physical function, pain, fatigue, emotional distress, and social health domain scores. RESULTS: Exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses supported a two-factor model. Global physical health (GPH; 4 items on overall physical health, physical function, pain, and fatigue) and global mental health (GMH; 4 items on quality of life, mental health, satisfaction with social activities, and emotional problems) scales were created. The scales had internal consistency reliability coefficients of 0.81 and 0.86, respectively. GPH correlated more strongly with the EQ-5D than did GMH (r = 0.76 vs. 0.59). GPH correlated most strongly with pain impact (r = -0.75) whereas GMH correlated most strongly with depressive symptoms (r = -0.71). CONCLUSIONS: Two dimensions representing physical and mental health underlie the global health items in PROMIS. These global health scales can be used to efficiently summarize physical and mental health in patient-reported outcome studies.	Study of 21,133 subjects aimed at estimating associations between PROMIS 10 global health items and the EQ-5D index score as well as subsets of global health items related to physical functions, pain, fatigue, emotional distress and social health domains. Findings supported a two factor model of four items to reflect global physical health and four items to reflect global mental health. -> "These global health scales can be used to efficiently summarize physical and mental health in patient-reported outcome studies."
10		Measurement of Disability	Schalet BD, Hays RD, Jensen SE, Beaumont JL, Fries JF, Cella D. Validity of PROMIS physical function measured in diverse clinical samples. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016 May;73:112-8. PMID: 26970039	2/C	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/artiles/PMC4968197/	© OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the validity of the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) Physical Function measures using longitudinal data collected in six chronic health conditions. STUDY DESIGN AND SETTING: Individuals with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), major depressive disorder (MDD), back pain, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), chronic heart failure (CHF), and cancer completed the PROMIS Physical Function computerized adaptive test or fixed-length short form at baseline and at the end of clinically relevant follow-up intervals. Anchor items were also administered to assess change in physical function and general health. Linear mixed-effects models and standardized response means were estimated at baseline and follow-up. RESULTS: A total of 1,415 individuals participated (COPD n = 121; CHF n = 57; back pain n = 218; MDD n = 196; RA n = 521; cancer n = 302). The PROMIS Physical Function scores improved significantly for treatment of CHF and back pain patients but not for patients with MDD or COPD. Most of the patient subsamples that reported improvement or worsening on the anchors showed a corresponding positive or negative change in PROMIS Physical Function. CONCLUSION: This study provides evidence that the PROMIS Physical Function measures are sensitive to change in intervention studies where physical function is expected to change and able to distinguish among different clinical samples. The results inform the estimation of meaningful change, enabling comparative effectiveness research.	Study of 1415 individuals including 218 patients with back pain were evaluated using PROMIS physical function scores during a course of therapy. Authors conclude that PROMIS physical function measures are sensitive to medical interventions. > Supports the conclusion that PROMIS physical function is reponsive the therapeutic interventions.
11		WA Health Technology Clinical Committee Findings and Decision on Lumbar Fusion for Degenerative Disc Disease	Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment: Lumbar fusion for degenerative disc disease. Final adoption January 15, 2016.	VM Tier 1 Source	https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/progra m/lumbar_fusion- rr_final_findings_decision_012016[1].pd f	"Based on these findings, the committee voted to not cover lumbar fusion for patients >17 years of age with chronic (≥ 3 months) lumbar pain and uncomplicated degenerative disc disease 1 The committee discussed the meaning of "uncomplicated degenerative disc disease" for this review and noted, for the record, that the population addressed in this decision includes individuals > 17 years of age with chronic (3 or more months) lumbar pain and uncomplicated degenerative disc disease; excluded conditions include radiculopathy, spondylolisthesis (> Grade 1) or severe spinal stenosis, as well as acute trauma or systemic disease affecting the lumbar spine (e.g., malignancy)."	Washington State's Health Technology Assessment is a respected source supported by high- quality evidence appraisal. > "Health Technology Assessment Program does not recommend payment for lumbar fusion in patients over 17 years of age with chronic lumbar pain and uncomplicated degenerative disc disease."

12	WA Health Technology Clinical Committee Findings and Decision on Cervical Spinal Fusion for Degenerative Disc Disease Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment: Cervical spinal fusion for degenerative disc disease. Final adoption May 17, 2013. VM Tier 1 Source spinal fusion for degenerative disc disease. Final adoption May 17, 2013.	https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/progra m/csf final findings decision 052013 1 demonstrates that there is sufficient evidence to cover with conditions."	e Washington State's Health Technology Assessment is a respected source supported by high-quality evidence appraisal. > Document lists decision rules for coverage for cervical spinal fusion.
13	WA Health Technology Clinical Committee Findings and Decision on Facet Neurotomy Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment: Facet Neurotomy. Final adoption May 16, 2014.	https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/progra m/052714 facet final findings decision [1].pdf "The committee concluded that the current evidence on Facet Neurotomy demonstrates that there is suffi evidence to cover with conditions."	ient Washington State's Health Technology Assessment is a respected source supported by high- quality evidence appraisal. > Document lists decision rules for coverage for facet neurotomy.
14	WA Health Technology Clinical Committee Findings and Decision on Discography Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment: Discography. Final adoption August 15, 2008.	https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/progra m/decision findings discography final 090308[1].pdf "Based on the evidence presented on safety, efficacy and cost-effectiveness, the committee voted for non coverage."	Washington State's Health Technology Assessment is a respected source supported by high-quality evidence appraisal. > Committee does not support coverage for discography.
15	WA Health Technology Clinical Committee Evaluation for Coverage for Surgery for Symptoms of Lumbar Radiculopathy Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment: Surgery for Symptoms of Lumbar Radiculopathy WM Tier 1 Source for Symptoms of Lumbar Radiculopathy	https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/progra m/lumbar-radiculopathy-draft-response- comments-key%20Qs-20171221.pdf	Decision pending
16	WA Health Technology Clinical Committee Findings and Decision on Spinal Cord Stimulation Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment: Spinal Cord Stimulation. Final adoption October 22, 2010.	https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/progra m/adopted_findings_decision_scs_1025 ufficient evidence to cover the use of Spinal Cord Stimulation for chronic neuropathic pain." "The committee concluded that the current evidence on Spinal Cord Stimulation demonstrates that there is sufficient evidence to cover the use of Spinal Cord Stimulation for chronic neuropathic pain."	n't Washington State's Health Technology Assessment is a respected source supported by high-quality evidence appraisal. -> Committee does not support coverage for spinal cord stimulation for chronic neuropathic pain.
17	WA Health Technology Clinical Committee Findings and Decision on Upright/Positional MRI Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment: Upright/Positional MRI Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment: Upright/Positional MRI WM Tier 1 Source Upright/Positional MRI	https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/progra m/decision_and_finding_070530_publict technology is safe, efficacious, and cost-effective." 1] 0.pdf	Washington State's Health Technology Assessment is a respected source supported by high-quality evidence appraisal. > Committee does not support coverage for upright/positional MRI.
18	WA Health Technology Clinical Committee Findings and Decision on Vertebroplasty, Kyphoplasty and Sacroplasty Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment: Vertebroplasty, Kyphoplasty, Sacroplasty. Date March 11, 2011. VM Tier 1 Source VM Tier 1 Source Vertebroplasty, Syphoplasty, Sacroplasty. Date March 11, 2011.	https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/progra "Vertebroplasty, Kyphoplasty and Sacroplasty are not a covered benefit." m/findings_decision_vks_031811[1].pdf	Washington State's Health Technology Assessment is a respected source supported by high-quality evidence appraisal. > Committee does not support coverage for vertebroplasty, kyphoplasty and sacroplasty.
19	Nonsurgical Treatment NICE guideline [NG59]. Low back pain and sciatica in over 16s: assessment and management. Published date: November 2016. VM Tier 1 Source	https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng59 This guideline includes recommendations on: -assessment of low back pain and sciatica -non-invasive treatments for low back pain and sciatica -invasive treatments for low back pain and sciatica	Respected source with robust evidence appraisal. -> Comprehensive recommendations for evaluation of nonsurgical therapy for adult patients with low back pain and sciatica.

Page 4 of 33 September 2014

20	Nonsurgical Treatment versus Surgery	Weinstein JN, Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, Hanscom B, Tosteson AN, Blood EA, Birkmeyer NJ, Hillbrand AS, Herkowitz H, Cammisa FP, Albert TJ, Emery SE, Lenke LG, Abdu WA, Longley M, Errico TJ, Hu SS. Surgical versus nonsurgical treatment for lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis. N Engl J Med. 2007 May 31; 356(22):2257-70. PMID: 17538085	2/B	http://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/ NEJMoa070302	BACKGROUND: Management of degenerative spondylolisthesis with spinal stenosis is controversial. Surgery is widely used, but its effectiveness in comparison with that of nonsurgical treatment has not been demonstrated in controlled trials. METHODS: Surgical candidates from 13 centers in 11 U.S. states who had at least 12 weeks of symptoms and image-confirmed degenerative spondylolisthesis were offered enrollment in a randomized cohort or an observational cohort. Treatment was standard decompressive laminectomy (with or without fusion) or usual nonsurgical care. The primary outcome measures were the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form General Health Survey (SF-36) bodily pain and physical function scores (100-point scales, with higher scores indicating less severe symptoms) and the modified Oswestry Disability Index (100-point scale, with lower scores indicating less severe symptoms) at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, and 2 years. RESULTS: We enrolled 304 patients in the randomized cohort and 303 in the observational cohort. The baseline characteristics of the two cohorts were similar. The one-year crossover rates were high in the randomized cohort (approximately 40% in each direction) but moderate in the observational cohort (17% crossover to surgery and 3% crossover to nonsurgical care). The intention-to-treat analysis for the randomized cohort showed no statistically significant effects for the primary outcomes. The as-treated analysis for both cohorts combined showed a significant advantage for surgery at 3 months that increased at 1 year and diminished only slightly at 2 years. The treatment effects at 2 years were 18.1 for bodily pain (95% confidence interval [CI], 14.5 to 21.7), 18.3 for physical function (95% CI, 14.6 to 21.9), and -16.7 for the Oswestry Disability Index (95% CI, -19.5 to -13.9). There was little evidence of harm from either treatment. CONCLUSIONS: In nonrandomized astreated comparisons with careful control for potentially confounding baseline factors, patients with degenerativ	degenerative spondylolisthesis with spinal stenosis. A combination randomized and observational study with substantial cross-over and inconsistent conservative care. Precursor report to the four year Weinstein/JBJS article cited elsewhere. Cohort had neurogenic claudication or radicular leg pain with associated neurologic signs for at least 12 weeks and degenerative spondylolithesis on lateral radiographs with patient in standing position. Nonsurgical care not prespecified. 94% of group randomized to surgery (158/168) had fusion. The RCT portion of the trial showed no difference in surgery vs no surgery but this is severely limited by substantial crossover. Adjusted cohort analysis ("as-treated") showed improved pain and function in patients treated surgically compared to those treated without surgery. Of all patients receiving surgery, the intraoperative complication rate was 13%, postop complication rate was 13%, and rate of repeat surgery within one year was 6%. In the nonrandomized as-treated comparisons of symptomatic patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis and spinal stenosis treated surgically showed substantially
21	Nonsurgical Treatment versus Surgery	Weinstein JN, et al. Surgical compared with nonoperative treatment for lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis. four-year results in the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT) randomized and observational cohorts. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, American Volume, 2009 Jun; 91(6):1295-304. PMID: 19487505 Supplementarty tables: http://jbjs.org/data/Journals/JBJS/961/1295.pdf	2/B	BJA0910612950F01.pdf	BACKGROUND: The management of degenerative spondylolisthesis associated with spinal stenosis remains controversial. Surgery is widely used and has recently been shown to be more effective than nonoperative treatment when the results were followed over two years. Questions remain regarding the long-term effects of surgical treatment compared with those of nonoperative treatment. METHODS: Surgical candidates from thirteen centers with symptoms of at least twelve weeks' duration as well as confirmatory imaging showing degenerative spondylolisthesis with spinal stenosis were offered enrollment in a randomized cohort or observational cohort. Treatment consisted of standard decompressive laminectomy (with or without fusion) or usual nonoperative care. Primary outcome measures were the Short Form-36 (SF-36) bodily pain and physical function scores and the modified Oswestry Disability Index at six weeks, three months, six months, and yearly up to four years. RESULTS: In the randomized cohort (304 patients enrolled), 66% of those randomized to receive surgery received it by four years whereas 54% of those randomized to receive nonoperative care received surgery by four years. In the observational cohort (303 patients enrolled), 97% of those who chose surgery received it whereas 33% of those who chose nonoperative care eventually received surgery. The intent-to-treat analysis of the randomized cohort, which was limited by nonadherence to the assigned treatment, showed no significant differences in treatment outcomes between the operative and nonoperative groups at three or four years. An as-treated analysis combining the randomized and observational cohorts that adjusted for potential confounders demonstrated that the clinically relevant advantages of surgery that had been previously reported through two years were maintained at four years, with treatment effects of 15.3 (95% confidence interval, 11 to 19.7) for bodily pain, 18.9 (95% confidence interval, 14.8 to 23) for physical function, and -14.3 (95% confidence inter	Four year study. A combination randomized and observational study with substantial crossover. Patients with spondylosithesis and spinal stenosis were treated surgically or with ill-defined conservative therapy. Surgical care included laminectomy with or without fusion. Randomized arm showed no difference between surgical and non-surgical care at four years. Analysis of observational cohort showed benefit from surgery. (see Weinstein 2007 for the 2y results)

Page 5 of 33 September 2014

22	ı.	Nonsurgical Treatment	Weinstein JN(1), Tosteson TD, Lurie JD, Tosteson A, Blood E, Herkowitz H, Cammisa	12 /n	have the day and any field the harder	STUDY DESIGN: Randomized trial and concurrent observational cohort study. OBJECTIVE: To compare 4 year	This study cohort was limited to patients with spinal stenosis without spondylolisthesis (studied
		versus Surgery	weinstein JN(1), Tosteson II, Unite JD, Tosteson IA, Broode P, Reixwell P, Calminsa F, Albert T, Boden SD, Hilibrand A, Goldberg H, Berven S, An H. Surgical versus nonoperative treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis four-year results of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial. Spine, 2010 Jun 15; 35(14):1329-38. PMID: 20453723	·	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=J S&CSC=V&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00007632-201006150- 00002&D=ovft&PDF=y	outcomes of surgery to nonoperative care for spinal stenosis. SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND DATA: Surgery for spinal stenosis has been shown to be more effective compared to nonoperative treatment over 2 years, but longer-term data have not been analyzed. METHODS: Surgical candidates from 13 centers in 11 US states with at least 12 weeks of symptoms and confirmatory imaging were enrolled in a randomized cohort (RC) or observational cohort (OC). Treatment was standard decompressive laminectomy or standard nonoperative care. Primary outcomes were SF-36 bodily pain (BP) and physical function scales and the modified Oswestry Disability index assessed at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and yearly up to 4 years. RESULTS: A total of 289 patients enrolled in the RC and 365 patients enrolled in the OC. An as-treated analysis combining the RC and OC and adjusting for potential confounders found that the clinically significant advantages for surgery previously reported were maintained through 4 years, with treatment effects (defined as mean change in surgery group minus mean change in nonoperative group) for bodily pain 12.6 (95% confidence interval [CI], 8.5 16.7); physical function 8.6 (95% CI, 4.6-12.6); and Oswestry Disability index -9.4 (95% CI, -12.6 to -6.2). Early advantages for surgical treatment for secondary measures such as bothersomeness, satisfaction with symptoms, and self-rated progress were also maintained. CONCLUSION: Patients with symptomatic spinal stenosis treated surgically compared to those treated nonoperatively maintain substantially greater improvement in pain and function through 4 years.	separately in Weinstein 2007 and 2009), with neurogenic claudication and/or radicular leg pain of at least 12 weeks duration, treated with standard decompressive laminectomy. - As in the related trial (SPORT) of degenerative spondylolistnesis noted above, there was an RCT component and an observational cohort component. The RCT portion had substanstial crossover. Results were based on an "as-treated" analysis combining randomized and observational cohorts. Patients treated surgically has less pain, improved physical function and improved Oswestry scores. -> Favors standard decompressive laminectomy versus conservative care for patients with spinal steonsis.
23	1/A/1	Document Disability	Fairbank JCT, Pynsent PB. The Oswestry Disability Index. Spine, 2000 Nov 15; 25(22): 2940-53. PMID: 11074683		http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=J S&CSC=V&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00007632-200011150- 00017&D=ovft&PDF=y	Study Design. The Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) has become one of the principal condition-specific outcome measures used in the management of spinal disorders. This review is based on publications using the ODI identified from the authors' personal databases, the Science Citation Index, and hand searches of Spine and current textbooks of spinal disorders. Objectives. To review the versions of this instrument, document methods by which it has been validated, collate data from scores found in normal and back pain populations, provide curves for power calculations in studies using the ODI, and maintain the ODI as a gold standard outcome measure. Summary of Background Data. It has now been 20 years since its original publication. More than 200 citations exist in the Science Citation Index. The authors have a large correspondence file relating to the ODI, that is cited in most of the large textbooks related to spinal disorders. Methods. All the published versions of the questionnaire were identified. A systematic review of this literature was made. The various reports of validation were collated and related to a version. Results. Four versions of the ODI are available in English and nine in other languages. Some published versions contain misprints, and many omit the scoring system. At least 114 studies contain usable data. These data provide both validation and standards for other users and indicate the power of the instrument for detecting change in sample populations. Conclusions. The ODI remains a valid and vigorous measure and has been a worthwhile outcome measure. The process of using the ODI is reviewed and should be the subject of further research. The receiver operating characteristics should be explored in a population with higher self-report disabilities. The behavior of the instrument is incompletely understood, particularly in sensitivity to real change	Study reviews four version of ODI and measures of validity and power to detect clinically relevant change. Somewhat limited search strategy. Unclear quality assessment of individual studies. "The ODI correlates with the Short Form (SF)36. ODI is a better predictor of return to work than two different mechanical methods of lumbar spine assessment." Authors key points: "The ODI has stood the test of time and many reviews. It is usable in a wide variety of applications as a condition-specific outcome measure of spine-related disability. Results of a meta-analysis show variations in estimated population means of ODI scores for different spinal diseases and changes after treatment consistent with clinical experience." 3 Supports use of ODI as an outcome measure.
24	I/A/1	Document Disability	Davidson M(1), Keating JL. A comparison of five low back disability questionnaires: reliability and responsiveness. Phys Ther. 2002 Jan;82(1):8-24. PMID: 11784274		http://ptjournal.apta.org/content/82/1/ 8.full.pdf+html	BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The aim of this study was to examine 5 commonly used questionnaires for assessing disability in people with low back pain. The modified Oswestry Disability Questionnaire, the Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale, the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire, the Waddell Disability Index, and the physical health scales of the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) were compared in patients undergoing physical therapy for low back pain. SUBJECTS AND METHODS: Patients with low back pain completed the questionnaires during initial consultation with a physical therapist and again 6 weeks later (n=106). Test-retest reliability was examined for a group of 47 subjects who were classified as "unchanged" and a subgroup of 16 subjects who were self-rated as "about the same." Responsiveness was compared using standardized response means, receiver operating characteristic curves, and the proportions of subjects who changed by at least as much as the minimum detectable change (MDC) (90% confidence interval [CI] of the standard error for repeated measures). Scale width was judged as adequate if no more than 15% of the subjects had initial scores at the upper or lower end of the scale that were insufficient to allow change to be reliably detected. RESULTS: Intraclass correlation coefficients (2,1) calculated to measure reliability for the subjects who were classified as "unchanged" and those who were self-rated as "about the same" were greater than.80 for the Oswestry and Quebec questionnaires and the SF-36 Physical Functioning scale and less than.80 for the Waddell and Roland-Morris questionnaires and the SF-36 Physical Functioning scale and less than.80 for the Waddell and Roland-Morris questionnaires and the SF-36 Physical Functioning scale and less than.80 for the Waddell and Roland-Morris questionnaires and the SF-36 Physical Functioning scale, and the Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale were the most reliable and had sufficient width scale to reliably detect improvement or worsen	Validates minimum detectable change on ODI as 10.5-15 points. → Supports minimum difference of 10.5 points on ODI to be 90% certain that change has occurred.

Page 6 of 33 September 2014

25	I / A-B-C-D	Document Imaging Findings	Washington State Department of Labor & Industries. Surgical guideline for lumbar fusion (arthrodesis). 1 Nov 2009.	http://www.lni.wa.gov/ClaimsIns/Files/ OMD/MedTreat/LumbarFusion.pdf	recommendations about the medical necessity and clinical appropriateness of lumbar fusions.	Washington State standard dealing with conservative care (including use of Structured Intensive Multidisciplinary Program, SIMP), surgical criteria, and contraindications for lumbar fusion. Refers to Health Technology Clinical Committee decision of November 2007. L&I guideline development process outlined here: http://www.lni.wa.gov/Claimsins/Files/OMD/MedTreat/Guidelinehistoryprocess.pdf Defines L&I imaging standards for reimbursement for lumbar fusion
26	1/8	Document Imaging Findings	Blumenthal C, Curran J, Benzel EC, Potter R, Magge SN, Harrington JF Jr, Coumans JB, Ghogawala Z. Radiographic predictors of delayed instability following decompression without fusion for degenerative grade I lumbar spondylolisthesis. J Neurosurg Spine, 2013 Apr; 18(4): 340-6. PMID: 23373567	http://theins.org/doi/pdf/10.3171/2013 1.SPINE12537		decompression (based on predictors of need for reoperation w/ fusion following initial decompression surgery). → Presents preoperative imaging findings that predict instability following decompression.
27	I/B	Document Imaging Findings	Spinelli J, Rainville J. Lumbar spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis, chapter 45. <i>In:</i> Essentials of physical medicine and rehabilitation: musculoskeletal disorders, pain, and rehabilitation / W.R. Frontera, et.al. 2nd edition. Saunders, 2008.	http://www.mdconsult.com/books/page.do?eid=4-u1.0-B978-1-4160-4007- 150047-X&isbn=978-1-4160-4007- 18.uniqld=440011340-4#4-u1.0-B978-1- 4160-4007-150047-X		Textbook. "The grade of spondylolisthesis is rated by the percentage of slippage of the posterior corner of the vertebral body above over the superior surface of the vertebral body below. At least 5% slippage must be present for a diagnosis of spondylolisthesis to be conferred. Slippage can be further categorized into five grades. Grade I indicates slippage from 5% to 25%; grade II is 26% to 50%; grade III is 51% to 75%; grade IV is more than 75% and grade V is complete dislocation of adjacent vertebrae." → Defines grades of spondylolithesis to assist in interpreting Labor and Industries imaging standards

Page 7 of 33

28	1/B	Document Imaging Findings	Lattig F, et al. Lumbar facet joint effusion in MRI: a sign of instability in degenerative spondylolisthesis? Eur Spine J. 2012 Feb;21(2):276-81. PMID: 21932065	2/B	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/artic les/PMC3265597/	only or decompression with instrumented fusion for degenerative spondylolisthesis with different degrees of narrowing of the spinal canal were identified retrospectively from our spine surgery database. All had	A retrospective cohort study assessing the correlation of preoperative facet joint effusion with "% slip" on upright X-ray on supine MRI. Study established a correlation between effusion and and slippage of vertebre. Authors acknowledge the difficulty in achieving consistent imaging planes. Did not include functional measures pre or post operatively. -> Supports the conclusion that sensitivity of conventional imaging techniques may be suboptimal and additional or alternative imaging studies may help in decision making with regard to surgery.
		Document Imaging Findings	Tarpada SP, Cho W, Chen F, Amorosa L. Utility of Supine Lateral Radiographs for Assessment of Lumbar Segmental Instability in Degenerative Lumbar Spondylolisthesis. Spine (Phila Ps 1976). 2018 Feb 9. doi: 10.1097/BRS.00000000000000004 [Epub ahead of print]. PMID: 29432395	1/B		Paste abstract at later date	Retrospective chart review of 59 patients evaluated for back pain who had findings of spondy/olisthesis on the basis of upright flexion, neutral and extension lateral radiographs. Supine cross table lateral imaging revealed greater mobility when compared to conventional imaging positions. Did not compare findings of lateral imaging on patients with back pain but without spondy/olisthesis on conventional imaging. Study did not include patients without back pain. > Supports the use of supine cross table lateral imaging has an adjunct in evaluation of patients with back pain and spondy/olisthesis.
		Nonsurgical Treatment - Physiatrist consultation	Fox J, Haig AJ, Todey B, Challa S, The effect of required physiatrist consultation on surgery rates for back pain. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2013 Feb 1;38(3):£178-84. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e31827bf40c. PubMed PMID: 23138405.	2/B	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	Paste abstract at later date	Patients with user, pair aim spontylinsincteries. Sponsored by a health plan and including 6 health systems that compared rates of spine surgery with or without a consultation with a physiatrist. A physiatry consultation was required to qualify as a Center of Excellence. When physiatry consultation was required the rates of spine surgery docreased. "Increased referrals to physiatrists did not result in a substantial increase in electrodiagnostic testing or spinal injections (P > 0.05) between the 2-time periods." 74% of patients were satisfied or very satisfied with a physiatrist. > Surgical rates decreased with a mandatory consultation with a physiatrist while maintaining satisfactory patient satisfaction.
29	1/0	Nonsurgical Treatment	NICE National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. NG59: Low back pain and sciatica in over 16s: assessment and management. London: National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care and Royal College of General Practitioners. November 2016.	VM Tier 1 Source	https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng59 /evidence/full-guideline-assessment-and- noninvasive-treatments-pdf-2726158003	Need abstract	Respected source with robust evidence appraisal. Among recommended non-surgical care interventions are education, self-management, physical activity, structured exercise programs, cognitive behavioral therapy, combined physical and psychology programs, facilitating return to usual function, NSAIDs (with PPI), and manual therapy. Recommends stratification with STarT Back tool at first visit.

Page 8 of 33

30		Nonsurgical Treatment Early PT	Fritz JM, Magel JS, McFadden M, Asche C, Thackeray A, Meier W, Brennan G. Early Physical Therapy vs Usual Care in Patients With Recent-Onset Low Back Pain: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2015 Oct 13;314(14):1459-67. PMID: 26461996	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	IMPORTANCE: Low back pain (LBP) is common in primary care. Guidelines recommend delaying referrals for physical therapy. OBJECTIVE: To evaluate whether early physical therapy (manipulation and exercise) is more effective than usual care in improving disability for patients with LBP fitting a decision rule. DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS: Randomized clinical trial with 220 participants recruited between March 2011 and November 2013. Participants with no LBP treatment in the past 5 months, aged 18 through 60 years (mean age, 37.4 years [SD, 10.3]), an Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) score of 20 or higher, symptom duration less than 16 days, and no symptoms distal to the knee in the past 72 hours were enrolled following a primary care visit. INTERVENTIONS: All participants received education. Early physical therapy (ne 10) consisted of 4 physical therapy sessions. Usual care (n = 112) involved no additional interventions during the first 4 weeks. MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES: Primary outcome was change in the ODI score (range: 0-100; higher scores indicate greater disability; minimum clinically important difference, 6 points) at 3 months. Secondary outcomes included changes in the ODI score at 4-week and 1-year follow-up, and change in pain intensity, Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS) score, fear-avoidance beliefs, quality of life, patient-reported success, and health care utilization at 4-week, 3-month, and 1-year follow-up. RESULTS: One-year follow-up was completed by 207 participants (94.1%). Using analysis of covariance, early physical therapy group, 41.3 [95% CI, 38.7 to 44.0] at baseline to 6.6 [95% CI, 4.7 to 8.5] at 3 months; usual care group, 40.9 [95% CI, 38.6 to 43.1] at baseline to usual care in disability after 3 months (mean ODI score: early physical therapy group, 41.3 [95% CI, 9.8.7 to 44.0] at baseline to 6.6 [95% CI, 0.7 to 8.5] at 3 months; usual care group, 40.9 [95% CI, 38.6 to 43.1] at baseline to 9.8 [95% CI, -0.01, 1.7] at 3 months; between-group difference, -3.2 [95% CI, -0.40, 1.7] part	Experimental group had statistically significant improvement in disability at 4 weeks but this did not reach minimum clinically important difference at 3 months. No difference in healthcare utilization at 4 weeks, 3 months, and 1 year. 16 of 108 patient in the control group had "off protocol" therapeutic interventions. > Data does not support value of early physical therapy in reducing impairment or
31	I/C	Nonsurgical Treatment	Jacobs WC. Rubinstein SM. Koes B. van Tulder MW. Peul WC. Evidence for surgery in degenerative lumbar spine disorders. [Review] Best Practice & Research in Clinical Rheumatology. 27(5): 673-84, 2013 Oct. PMID: 24315148		Abstract: We aimed to evaluate the available evidence on the effectiveness of surgical interventions for a number of conditions resulting in low back pain (LBP) or spine-related irradiating leg pain. We searched the Cochrane databases and PubMed up to June 2013. We included systematic reviews and randomised controlled trials (RCTs) on degenerative disc disease (DDD), herniated disc, spondylolisthesis and spinal stenosis due to degenerative osteoarthritis. We included comparisons between surgery and conservative care and between different techniques. The quality of the systematic reviews was evaluated using assessment of multiple systematic reviews (AMSTAR). Twenty systematic reviews were included which covered the following diagnoses: disc herniation (n = 9), spondylolisthesis (n = 2), spinal stenosis (n = 3), DDD (n = 4) and combinations (n = 2). For most of the comparisons, no significant and/or clinically relevant differences between interventions were identified. In general, surgery is only indicated for relief of leg pain in clear indications such as disc herniation, spondylolisthesis or spinal stenosis. Copyright 2013. Published by Elsevier Ltd.	Systematic review of studies with inconsistent findings (2/B for this specific conclusion). → Concludes surgery is only indicated for relief of leg pain with clear indications such as disc herniation, sponlylolistesis or spinal stenosis. Does not support surgical intervention for low back pain.
32	I/C	Nonsurgical Treatment	Kreiner DS, Shaffer WO, Baisden JL, Gilbert TJ, Summers JT, Toton JF, Hwang SW, Mendel RC, Reitman CA; North American Spine Society. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis (update). Spine J. 2013 Jul; 13(7):734-43. PMID: 23830297			→ Provides an update to the NASS Guideline, 2011, cited below.

22	1/C	Nonsurgical Treatment	North American Spine Society. Evidence-based clinical guidelines for	VM Tier 2 Source	Copy/Paste hyperlink for access:	The guideline is intended to reflect contemporary treatment concepts for symptomatic degenerative lumbar	Reasonably well-detailed methods section re: evidence grading and guideline development.
	,, c	noisui gion Heatment	North American spine society. Evidence-based clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care. Diagnosis and treatment of degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis. 2011.	THE 2 SOURCE		spinal stenosis as reflected in the highest quality clinical literature available on the subject as of July 2010.	Cohort is patients with spinal stenosis in 18 years and older with a chief complaint of neurogenic claudication without associat spondylolisthesis. Among the recommendations are: B-level recommendation that validated criteria should be used for interpretting imaging studies. Work Group consensus that physical therapy is an option for patients with lumbar spinal stenosis, unsupported by reliable evidence. B-level recommendation for the use of lumbosacral corset to increase walking distance and decrease pain in patients with lumbar spinal stenosis. Insufficient evidence to support use of traction, electrical stimulation, TENS, or accupuncture. C-level evidence that medical / interventional treatment may provide improvement for 2-10 years. B-level recommendation that decompressive surgery may improve outcomes in patients with moderate to severe symptoms of lumbar spinal stenosis. B-level recommendation that decompression alone is suggested for patients with leg predominant symptoms without instability. See Kreiner article as possible updated edition of this document. Society guidelie on management of lumbar stenosis emphasizing standards or interpretation of imaging, conservative care and decompressive surgery in the absence of spinal instability.
34	I/C	Nonsurgical Treatment	Gibson JNA, Waddell G. Surgery for degenerative lumbar spondylosis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2005 Oct 19, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD001352. PMID: 16235281	2/C	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.10 02/14651858.CD001352.pub3/abstract	Degeneration of the lumbar spine is described as lumbar spondylosis or degenerative disc disease and may lead to spinal stenosis (narrowing of the spinal canal), vertebral instability and/or malalignment, which may be associated with back pain and/or leg symptoms. This review considers the available evidence on the procedures of spinal decompression (widening the spinal canal or laminectomy), nerve root decompression (of one or more individual nerves) and fusion of adjacent vertebrae.	heterogeneous trials on spondylolisthesis, spinal stenosis and nerve compression permitted limited conclusions. Two new trials on the effectiveness of fusion showed conflicting results.
35	I/C	Nonsurgical Treatment	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. DRAFT: Spinal fusion for treatment of degenerative disease affecting the lumbar spine. November 1, 2006.	VM Tier 1 Source	http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coverag e/DeterminationProcess/downloads/id4 1ta.pdf	"Conclusion: The evidence for lumbar spinal fusion does not conclusively demonstrate short-term or long-term benefits compared with non-surgical treatment, especially when considering patients over 65 years of age; for degenerative disc disease, for spondylolisthesis, considerable uncertainty exists due to lack of data, particularly	2006 document, still a "draft" version. →Evidence does not support benefit of spinal fusion surgery compared to non-surgical care, particularly for age >65 with degenerative disc disease or spondylolisthesis.
36	I/C	Nonsurgical Treatment	Chou R(1), Qaseem A, Snow V, Casey D, Cross JT Jr, Shekelle P, Owens DK; Clinical Efficacy Assessment Subcommittee of the American College of Physicians; American College of Physicians; American Pain Society Low Back Pain Guidelines Panel. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. Ann Intern Med. 2007 Oct 2;147(7):478-91. PMID: 17909209	VM Tier-2 Source	http://annals.org/article.aspx?articleid=736814	For older patients." RECOMMENDATION 1: Clinicians should conduct a focused history and physical examination to help place patients with low back pain into 1 of 3 broad categories: nonspecific low back pain, back pain potentially associated with radiculopathy or spinal stenosis, or back pain potentially associated with another specific spinal cause. The history should include assessment of psychosocial risk factors, which predict risk for chronic disabling back pain (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence). RECOMMENDATION 2: Clinicians should not routinely obtain imaging or other diagnostic tests in patients with nonspecific low back pain (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence). RECOMMENDATION 3: Clinicians should perform diagnostic imaging and testing for patients with low back pain when severe or progressive neurologic deficits are present or when serious underlying conditions are suspected on the basis of history and physical examination (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence). RECOMMENDATION 4: Clinicians should evaluate patients with persistent low back pain and signs or symptoms of radiculopathy or spinal stenosis with magnetic resonance imaging (preferred) or computed tomography only if they are potential candidates for surgery or epidural steroid injection (for suspected radiculopathy) (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence). RECOMMENDATION 5: Clinicians should provide patients with evidence-based information on low back pain with regard to their expected course, advise patients to remain active, and provide information about effective self-care options (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence). RECOMMENDATION 6: For patients with low back pain, clinicians should consider the use of medications with proven benefits in conjunction with back care information and self-care. Clinicians should assess severity of baseline pain and functional deficits, potential benefits, risks, and relative lack of long-term efficacy and safety data before initiating therapy	Professional society guidelines with robust search strategy. →For patient education, strong recommendation based on moderate quality evidence. →Clinicians should choose medications, when necessary, based on proven benefit; strong recommendation based on moderate quality evidence. →For patients who do not respond to self-care, clinicians should consider non-pharmacologic

Page 10 of 33 September 2014

37	I/C	Nonsurgical Treatment; Paracetamol	Williams CM, Maher CG, Latimer J, McLachlan AJ, Hancock MJ, Day RO, Lin CWC. Efficacy of paracetamol for acute low-back pain: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet, in press 23 July 2014.	1/C	http://ac.els- cdn.com/S0140673614608059/1-s2.0- S0140673614608059- main.pdf?_tid=98b15996-136d-11e4- bc1c- 00000aacb35e&acdnat=1406232396_32 de69889c3f4ac4287686582155ceea	Abstract: Background: Regular paracetamol is the recommended first-line analgesic for acute low-back pain; however, no high-quality evidence supports this recommendation. We aimed to assess the efficacy of paracetamol taken regularly or as-needed to improve time to recovery from pain, compared with placebo, in patients with low-back pain. Methods: We did a multicentre, double-dummy, randomised, placebo controlled trial across 235 primary care centres in Sydney, Australia, from Nov 11, 2009, to March 5, 2013. We randomly allocated patients with acute low-back pain in a 1:1:1 ratio to receive up to 4 weeks of regular doses of paracetamol (three times per day; equivalent to 3990 mg paracetamol per day), as-needed doses of paracetamol (taken when needed for pain relief; maximum 4000 mg paracetamol per day), or placebo. Randomisation was done according to a centralised randomisation schedule prepared by a researcher who was not involved in patient recruitment or data collection. Patients and staff at all sites were masked to treatment allocation. All participants received best-evidence advice and were followed up for 3 months. The primary outcome was time until recovery from low-back pain, with recovery defined as a pain score of 0 or 1 (on a 0–10 pain scale) sustained for 7 consecutive days. All data were analysed by intention to treat. This study is registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry, number ACTN 12609000966291. Findings: 550 participants were assigned to the regular group (550 analysed), 540 analysed), and 553 were assigned to the placebo group (547 analysed). Median time to recovery was 17 days (95% C1 14–19) in the regular group, 17 days (15–20) in the as-needed group, and 16 days (14–20) in the placebo group (regular vs placebo hazard ratio 0-99, 95% C1 0-87–1-14; as-needed vs placebo 1-05, 0-92–1-19; regular vs as-needed 1-05, 0-92–1-20). We recorded no difference between treatment groups for time to recovery (adjusted pe-0-79). Adherence to regular tablets (median tablets	
38	1/c	Nonsurgical Treatment	Hill JC, Whitehurst DG, Lewis M, Bryan S, Dunn KM, Foster NE, Konstantinou K, Main CJ, Mason E, Somerville S, Sowden G, Vohora K, Hay EM. Comparison of stratified primary care management for low back pain with current best practice (STarT Back): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2011 Oct 29;378(9802):1560-71. PMCID: PMC3208163 PMID: 21963002	2/B	http://ac.els- cdn.com/S0140673611609379/1-s2.0- S0140673611609379- main.pdf? tid=c39ba418-f667-11e3- ad1a- 00000aacb362&acdnat=1403041307_34 8b4870bf7f1fcc85575b1b88e96006	paracetamol in this patient group. Funding: National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia and GlaxoSmithKline Australia. BACKGROUND: Back pain remains a challenge for primary care internationally. One model that has not been tested is stratification of the management according to the patient's prognosis (low, medium, or high risk). We compared the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of stratified primary care (intervention) with non-stratified current best practice (control). METHODS: 1573 adults (aged ≥18 years) with back pain (with or without radiculopathy) consultations at ten general practices in England responded to invitations to attend an assessment clinic. Eligible participants were randomly assigned by use of computer-generated stratified blocks with a 2:1 ratio to intervention or control group. Primary outcome was the effect of treatment on the Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ) score at 12 months. In the economic evaluation, we focused on estimating incremental quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) and health-care costs related to back pain. Analysis was by intention to treat. This study is registered, number ISRCTN37113406. FINDINGS: 851 patients were assigned to the intervention (n=568) and control groups (n=283). Overall, adjusted mean changes in RMDQ scores were significantly higher in the intervention group than in the control group at 4 months (4-7 [50 5-9] vs 3-0 [5-9], between-group difference 1-81 [95% CI 1-06-2-57]) and at 12 months (4-3 [6-4] vs 3-3 [6-2], 1-06 [0-25-1-86]), equating to effect sizes of 0-32 (0-19-0-45) and 0-19 (0-04-0-33), respectively. At 12 months, stratified care was associated with a mean increase in generic health benefit (0-039 additional QALYs) and cost savings (£240-01 vs £274-40) compared with the control group. INTERPRETATION: The results show that a stratified approach, by use of prognostic screening with matched pathways, will have important implications for the future management of back pain in primary care. FUNDING: Arthritis	groups, then offering either no-further treatment, standard PT, or psychologically-informed PT depending on risk level. Stratification of patients with back pain and customization of treatment, including psycholoically-informed PT for high-risk patients, leads to greater improvement as judged by self-reported disability scores, at lower cost of care.

39	1/C		Fox J, Haig AJ, Todey B, Challa S. The effect of required physiatrist consultation on surgery rates for back pain. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2013 Feb 1;38(3):E178-84. PMID: 23138405	2/B	-	STUDY DESIGN: Prospective trial with insurance database and surveys. OBJECTIVE: This study was developed to determine whether an insurer rule requiring physiatrist consultation before nonurgent surgical consultation would affect surgery referrals and surgery rates. SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND DATA: Spine surgery rates are highly variable by region and increasing without evidence of a concordant decrease in the burden of disease. Efforts to curb misuse of surgery have not shown large changes, especially across different provider groups. As nonsurgical spine experts, physiatrists might provide patients with a different perspective on treatment options. METHODS: In 2007, the insurer required patients with nonurgent spine surgical consultations in a geographic region to first have a single visit with a physiatrist, who received extra compensation for the assessment. Surgical consultation and surgical rates results were compared between 2006-2007 and 2008-2010. An automated telephone survey of patients evaluated by physiatrists was performed to assess patient satisfaction. RESULTS: Physiatry referrals increased 70%, surgical referrals decreased 48%, and the total number of spine operations dropped 25%, with concomitant decreased overall cost. Although spinal fusion rates dropped, the percentage of fusion operations increased from 55% to 63% of all surgical procedures. Of 740 patients surveyed (48% response rate), 74% were satisfied or very satisfied with the physiatry consultation. Only 40% of patients who underwent previous spine surgery were satisfied. Although surgical rates decreased at all regional hospitals and all surgical groups, there were substantial shifts in market share. CONCLUSION: Mandatory physiatrist consultation prior to surgical consultation resulted in decreased surgical rates and continued patient satisfaction across a large region.	→ Rate of back surgery decreased 25% with this requirement. → 74% of patients responding to a telephone survey were satisfied or very satisfied with the physiatry consulation.
40	I/C/1		Chronic pain management, chapter 34. <i>In:</i> Payment policies for healthcare services provided to injured workers and crime victims. Washington State Department of Labor and Industries, effective July 1, 2013.	Washington State L&I Payment Policy	http://www.lni.wa.gov/ClaimsIns/Provid ers/Billing/FeeSched/2013/MARFS/2013 PDFs/Chapter34.pdf		Defines comprehensive conservative therapy for chronic pain, including lumbar pain. Includes graded exercise, cognitive behavioral therapy, and coordination of health services. -> Washington State L&I reimbursement standard
41	1/c/1		Brox JI, Sorensen R, Friis A, Nygaard O, Indahl A, Keller A, Ingebrigtsen T, Eriksen HR, Holm I, Koller AK, Riise R, Reikeras O. Randomized controlled trial of lumbar instrumented fusion and cognitive intervention and exercises in patients with chronic low back pain and disc degeneration. Spine 2003; 28(17):1913-1921. PMID: 12973134	1/A		Study Design. Single blind randomized study. Objectives. To compare the effectiveness of lumbar instrumented fusion with cognitive intervention and exercises in patients with chronic low back pain and disc degeneration. Summary of Background Data. To the authors' best knowledge, only one randomized study has evaluated the effectiveness of lumbar fusion. The Swedish Lumbar Spine Study reported that lumbar fusion was better than continuing physiotherapy and care by the family physician. Patients and Methods. Sixty-four patients aged 25–60 years with low back pain lasting longer than 1 year and evidence of disc degeneration at L4–L5 and/or L5–51 at radiographic examination were randomized to either lumbar fusion with posterior transpedicular screws and postoperative physiotherapy, or cognitive intervention and exercises. The cognitive intervention consisted of a lecture to give the patient an understanding that ordinary physical activity would not harm the disc and a recommendation to use the back and bend it. This was reinforced by three daily physical exercise sessions for 3 weeks. The main outcome measure was the Oswestry Disability Index. Results. At the 1-year follow-up visit, 97% of the patients, including 6 patients who had either not attended treatment or changed groups, were examined. The Oswestry Disability Index was significantly reduced from 41 to 26 after surgery, compared with 42 to 30 after cognitive intervention and exercises. The mean difference between groups was 2.3 (-6.7 to 11.4) (P = 0.33). Improvements inback pain, use of analgesics, emotional distress, life satisfaction, and return to work were not different. Fear-avoidance beliefs and fingertip-floor distance were reduced more after nonoperative treatment, and lower limb pain was reduced more after surgery. The success rateaccording to an independent observer was 70% after surgery and 76% after cognitive intervention and exercises. The early complication rate in the surgical group was 18%. Conclusion. The main outcome measure showed equal i	difference in primary outcome (ODI) w/ moderately wide confidence intervals, though confidence intervals do exclude a statistically meaningful effect on ODI (noted in the paper to be > 12 points). Surgical complication rate was 18%. Fear avoidance beliefs and fingertip-floor distance were reduced more after nonoperative treatment, and lower limb pain was reduced more after surgery. The success rate according to an independent observer was 70% after surgery and 76% after cognitive intervention and exercises. -> Supports conclusion that lumbar fusion offers no greater benefit than non-surgical care for
42	I/C/1	Measure of treatment	Hoekstra CJ, Deppeler DA, Rutt RA. Criterion validity, reliability and clinical responsiveness of the CareConnections Functional Index. Physiother Theory Pract. 2014 Mar 25. PMID: 24666407	2/B		This study established the criterion validity, test—retest reliability and responsiveness of the CareConnections Functional Index (CCFI). The CCFI is composed of four body-region specific subscales, measuring functional ability. Reference standards included the Neck Disability Index; Modified Oswestry Disability Index; Quick Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand and the Lower Extremity Functional Scale. One hundred subjects per body region were enrolled. Subject's rated their perceived improvement based on the 15-point Global Rating of Change questionnaire. Minimal clinically important differences (MCID) were calculated via receiver operator characteristic curve. Test—retest reliability coefficients were good to excellent. Validity correlations with the reference standard measures were acceptable (r40.7) for all subscales. MCID for the cervical subscale½7 points, lumbar¼8 points, upper extremity¾16 points and lower extremity¾11 points. The results of this study support the use of the CCFI in outpatient physical therapy practice as a responsive tool with good reliability and validity. The results also indicate that future work should focus on the impact of baseline patient factors that may affect future outcome.	Diagnosis study looking the value of CareConnections Functional Index for estimating patient self-reported improvement. Cohort includes patients in with cervical, lumbar, upper extremity, and lower extremity pain undergoing physical therapy without regard to specific diagnosis. Minimal clinically important differences (MCID) for lumbar conditions was 8 points. — Supports CCFI as a valid measure of disability related to back pain with 8 points as the MCID.

Page 12 of 33 September 2014

			·			-	
43	I/C/1/c	Nonsurgical Treatment;	Sullivan MJ(1), Ward LC, Tripp D, French DJ, Adams H, Stanish WD. Secondary	3/C	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10926-005-	INTRODUCTION: One objective of the present research was to examine the degree to which psychological risk	Case series of 215 Workers Compensation Board claimants with work-related musculoskeletal
		Cognitive Behavioral	prevention of work disability: community-based psychosocial intervention for		<u>5944-7</u>	factors could be reduced through participation in a community-based psychosocial intervention for work-	disorders with long-term absence from work. 10-week, community-based psychosocial
		Therapy	musculoskeletal disorders. J Occup Rehabil. 2005 Sep;15(3):377-92. PMID: 16119228			related musculoskeletal disorders. A second objective was to examine whether psychosocial risk reduction had an effect on the probability of return to work. METHODS: Participants were 215 Workers Compensation Board	· ·
			10119220			claimants with work-related musculoskeletal disorders who had been absent from work for an average of	3 supports use of behavior therapy in pauents with workers compensation claims.
						approximately 7 months (M = 28.8 weeks, range = 4-100 weeks) and were referred to a community-based	
						multidisciplinary secondary prevention program in Nova Scotia, Canada. RESULTS: In the current sample, 63.7%	
						of participants returned to work within 4 weeks of treatment termination. The percentage reductions in	
						targeted risk factors from pretreatment to posttreatment were as follows: catastrophizing (32%), depression	
						(26%), fear of movement/re-injury (11%), and perceived disability (26%). Logistic regression indicated that	
						elevated pretreatment scores on fear of movement and re-injury (OR = 0.58, 95% CI = 0.35-0.95) and pain	
						severity (OR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.43-0.96) were associated with a lower probability of return to work. A second	
						logistic regression addressing the relation between risk factor reduction and return to work revealed that only	
						reductions in pain catastrophizing (OR = 0.17, 95% CI = 0.07-0.46) were significant predictors of return to work. CONCLUSIONS: The results of the present study provide further evidence that risk factor reduction can impact	
						positively on short term return to work outcomes. SIGNIFICANCE: Outcomes of rehabilitation programs for	
						work disability might be improved by incorporating interventions that specifically target catastrophic thinking.	
						Community-based models of psychosocial intervention might represent a viable approach to the management	
						of work disability associated with musculoskeletal disorders.	
						,	
44	I/C/1/c	Nonsurgical Treatment;	Turner JA(1), Franklin G, Fulton-Kehoe D, Sheppard L, Stover B, Wu R, Gluck JV,	2/B	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=J	STUDY DESIGN: Prospective population-based cohort study. OBJECTIVE: To identify early predictors of chronic	A large prospective cohort study that identifies factors predicting return to work for patients
		Prognostic factors	Wickizer TM. ISSLS prize winner: early predictors of chronic work disability: a		S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=	work disability after work-related back injury. SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND DATA: Identification of early	with workers' compensation claims. Limited to workers covered under State Fund and only 49%
			prospective, population-based study of workers with back injuries. Spine (Phila Pa		00007632-200812010-	predictors of prolonged disability after back injury could increase understanding concerning the development of	
			1976). 2008 Dec 1;33(25):2809-18. PMID: 19050587		00017&LSLINK=80&D=ovft	chronic, disabling pain, and aid in secondary prevention. Few studies have examined predictors across multiple domains in a large, population-based sample. METHODS: Workers (N = 1885) were interviewed 3 weeks	return to work. → Study identifies possible barriers to return to function.
						(average) after submitting a lost work-time claim for a back injury. Sociodemographic, employment-related,	3 study identifies possible barriers to return to function.
						pain and function, clinical, health care, administrative/legal, health behavior, and psychological domain	
						variables were assessed via worker interviews, medical records, and administrative databases. Logistic	
						regression analyses identified early predictors of work disability compensation 1 year after claim submission.	
						RESULTS: Significant baseline predictors of 1-year work disability in the final multidomain model were injury	
						severity (rated from medical records), specialty of the first health care provider seen for the injury (obtained	
						from administrative data), and worker-reported physical disability (Roland-Morris disability questionnaire),	
						number of pain sites, "very hectic" job, no offer of a job accommodation (e.g., light duty), and previous injury	
						involving a month or more off work. The model showed excellent ability to discriminate between workers who	
						were/were not disabled at 1 year (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve = 0.88, 95% CI = 0.86-	
						0.90). CONCLUSION: Among workers with new lost work-time back injury claims, risk factors for chronic disability include radiculopathy, substantial functional disability, and to a lesser extent, more widespread pain	
						and previous injury with extended time off work. The roles of employers and health care providers also seem	
						important, supporting the need to incorporate factors external to the worker in models of the development of	
						chronic disability and in disability prevention efforts.	
						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
45	I/C/1/g	Nonsurgical Treatment;	Fairbank J, et al. Randomised controlled trial to compare surgical stabilization of	2/B	http://www.bmj.com/highwire/filestrea	OBJECTIVES: To assess the clinical effectiveness of surgical stabilisation (spinal fusion) compared with intensive	Cohort is patients with chronic low back pain for which providers and patients were uncertain
		Cognitive Behavioral	the lumbar spine with an intensive rehabilitation programme for patients with		m/361994/field highwire article pdf a	rehabilitation for patients with chronic low back pain. DESIGN: Multicentre randomised controlled trial.	regarding relative benefit of surgery versus conservative care. Randomized controlled trial of
		Therapy	chronic low back pain: the MRC spine stabilization trial. BMJ, 2005 May 28;		bri/0.pdf	SETTING: 15 secondary care orthopaedic and rehabilitation centres across the United Kingdom. PARTICIPANTS:	spinal fusion surgery versus intensive non-surgical therapy (5 days/week, 5-7 hours/day, for 3
			330(7502): 1233-9. PMID: 15911537			349 participants aged 18-55 with chronic low back pain of at least one year's duration who were considered	weeks), but lacking "no treatment" arm. Surgical and non-surgical groups had similar
						candidates for spinal fusion. INTERVENTION: Lumbar spine fusion or an intensive rehabilitation programme based on principles of cognitive behaviour therapy. MAIN OUTCOME MEASURE: The primary outcomes were	improvement in Oswestry scale and no significant difference between groups on shuttle
						the Oswestry disability index and the shuttle walking test measured at baseline and two years after	walking test. No clear evidence emerged that primary spinal fusion surgery was any more beneficial than intensive rehabilitation.
						randomisation. The SF-36 instrument was used as a secondary outcome measure. RESULTS: 176 participants	- Level 2 because: 20% lost to follow-up. Significant crossover in both groups
	1					were assigned to surgery and 173 to rehabilitation. 284 (81%) provided follow-up data at 24 months. The mean	→ For patients with mostly non-specific chronic low back pain, there was minimal difference
	1					Oswestry disability index changed favourably from 46.5 (SD 14.6) to 34.0 (SD 21.1) in the surgery group and	in ODI or shuttle walking in patients receiving spinal fusion vs intensive non-surgical therapy.
	1					from 44.8 (SD14.8) to 36.1 (SD 20.6) in the rehabilitation group. The estimated mean difference between the	
	1					groups was -4.1 (95% confidence interval -8.1 to -0.1, P = 0.045) in favour of surgery. No significant differences	
	1					between the treatment groups were observed in the shuttle walking test or any of the other outcome	
	1					measures. CONCLUSIONS: Both groups reported reductions in disability during two years of follow-up, possibly	
	1					unrelated to the interventions. The statistical difference between treatment groups in one of the two primary	
	1					outcome measures was marginal and only just reached the predefined minimal clinical difference, and the	
	1					potential risk and additional cost of surgery also need to be considered. No clear evidence emerged that	
	1					primary spinal fusion surgery was any more beneficial than intensive rehabilitation.	
	1						
	1						
	1	I	I .	i	I	I.	

Page 13 of 33 September 2014

_							
46	I/C/3	Nonsurgical Treatment;	Walker BF, French SD, Grant W, Green S. Combined chiropractic interventions for	VM Tier 1 Source		BACKGROUND: Many therapies exist for the treatment of low-back pain including spinal manipulative therapy	→ Neither supports nor discourages spinal manipulative therapy compared to other
		Chiropractic	low-back pain. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2010, Issue 4. Art. No.:			(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for	conservative interventions for reducing pain and improving function.
			CD005427. PMID: 20393942			chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to	
					04354&D=coch&PDF=y	June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE,	
						EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined	
						the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No	
						restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were	
						excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes	
						were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently	
						conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the	
						quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where	
						possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which	
						had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the	
						previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not	
						clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD:	
						-0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of	
						these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically	
				1		significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is	
1						very low quality evidence that SMT is not statistically significantly more effective than inert interventions or	
						sham SMT for short-term pain relief or functional status. Data were particularly sparse for recovery, return-to-	
						work, quality of life, and costs of care. No serious complications were observed with SMT. AUTHORS'	
						CONCLUSIONS: High quality evidence suggests that there is no clinically relevant difference between SMT and	
						other interventions for reducing pain and improving function in patients with chronic low-back pain.	
						Determining cost-effectiveness of care has high priority. Further research is likely to have an important impact	
						on our confidence in the estimate of effect in relation to inert interventions and sham SMT, and data related to	
						recovery.	
						recovery.	
47	1.76.73						
4/							
1	I/C/3	Nonsurgical Treatment;	Rubinstein SM, van Middelkoop M, Assendelft WJJ, de Boer MR, van Tulder MW.	VM Tier 1 Source		, ,	→ Neither supports nor discourages spinal manipulative therapy compared to other
1 - 2	1/ C/ 3	Chiropractic	Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for	→ Neither supports nor discourages spinal manipulative therapy compared to other conservative interventions for reducing pain and improving function.
	1/ C/ 3			VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000-	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to	
	1/ C/ 3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE,	
	1/C/3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined	
	1/ C/ 3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No	
	1/ C/ 3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were	
	1/0/3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes	
	1/0/3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain, studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently	
	1/0/3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the	
	17073		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where	
	17073		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the	
	17073		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD:	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is very low quality evidence that SMT is not statistically significantly more effective than inert interventions or	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: 4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is very low quality evidence that SMT is not statistically significantly more effective than inert interventions or	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is very low quality evidence that SMT is not statistically significant whore effective than inert interventions or sham SMT for short-term pain relief or functional status. Data were particularly sparse for	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is very low quality evidence that SMT is not statistically significant when effective than inert interventions or sham SMT for short-term pain relief or functional status. Data were particularly sparse for	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: 4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is very low quality evidence that SMT is not statistically significantly more effective than inert interventions or sham SMT for short-term pain relief or functional status. Data were particularly sparse for	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is very low quality evidence that SMT is not statistically significantly more effective than inert interventions or sham SMT for short-term pain relief or functional status. Data were particularly sparse fo	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is very low quality evidence that SMT is not statistically significantly more effective than inert interventions or sham SMT for short-term pain relief or functional status. Data were particularly sparse fo	
	17-07-3		Spinal manipulative therapy for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of	VM Tier 1 Source	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-10000000- 06636&D=coch&PDF=y	(SMT), which is a worldwide, extensively practiced intervention. OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of SMT for chronic low-back pain. SEARCH STRATEGY: An updated search was conducted by an experienced librarian to June 2009 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2009, issue 2), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PEDro, and the Index to Chiropractic Literature. SELECTION CRITERIA: RCTs which examined the effectiveness of spinal manipulation or mobilisation in adults with chronic low-back pain were included. No restrictions were placed on the setting or type of pain; studies which exclusively examined sciatica were excluded. The primary outcomes were pain, functional status and perceived recovery. Secondary outcomes were return-to-work and quality of life. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently conducted the study selection, risk of bias assessment and data extraction. GRADE was used to assess the quality of the evidence. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of heterogeneity were performed, where possible, for the meta-analyses. MAIN RESULTS: We included 26 RCTs (total participants = 6070), nine of which had a low risk of bias. Approximately two-thirds of the included studies (N = 18) were not evaluated in the previous review. In general, there is high quality evidence that SMT has a small, statistically significant but not clinically relevant, short-term effect on pain relief (MD: -4.16, 95% CI -6.97 to -1.36) and functional status (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI -0.36 to -0.07) compared to other interventions. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of these findings. There is varying quality of evidence (ranging from low to high) that SMT has a statistically significant short-term effect on pain relief and functional status when added to another intervention. There is very low quality evidence that SMT is not statistically significant when added to another interventions or sham SMT for short-term pain relief or functional status. Data were particularly sparse for reco	

48	1/C/3	Nonsurgical Treatment; Acupuncture	Cherkin DC, Sherman KJ, Avins AL, Erro JH, Ichikawa L, Barlow WE, Delaney K, Hawkes R, Hamilton L, Pressman A, Khalsa PS, Deyo RA. A randomized trial comparing acupuncture, simulated acupuncture, and usual care for chronic low back pain. Arch Intern Med. 2009 May 11;169(9):858-66. PMID: 19433697	1/A	es/PMC2832641/	BACKGROUND: Acupuncture is a popular complementary and alternative treatment for chronic back pain. Recent European trials suggest similar short-term benefits from real and sham acupuncture needling. This trial addresses the importance of needle placement and skin penetration in eliciting acupuncture effects for patients with chronic low back pain. METHODS: A total of 638 adults with chronic mechanical low back pain were randomized to individualized acupuncture, simulated acupuncture, or usual care. Ten treatments were provided over 7 weeks by experienced acupuncturists. The primary outcomes were back-related dysfunction (Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire score; range, 0-23) and symptom bothersomeness (0-10 scale). Outcomes were assessed at baseline and after 8, 26, and 52 weeks. RESULTS: At 8 weeks, mean dysfunction scores for the individualized, standardized, and simulated acupuncture groups improved by 4.4, 4.5, and 4.4 points, respectively, compared with 2.1 points for those receiving usual care (P < .001). Participants receiving real or simulated acupuncture were more likely than those receiving usual care to experience clinically meaningful improvements on the dysfunction scale (60% vs 39%; P < .001). Symptoms improved by 1.6 to 1.9 points in the treatment groups compared with 0.7 points in the usual care group (P < .001). After 1 year, participants in the treatment groups were more likely than those receiving usual care to experience clinically meaningful improvements in dysfunction (59% to 65% vs 50%, respectively; P = .02) but not in symptoms (P > .001). ONCLUSIONS: Although acupuncture was found effective for chronic low back pain, tailoring needling sites to each patient and penetration of the skin appear to be unimportant in eliciting therapeutic benefits. These findings raise questions about acupuncture's purported mechanisms of action. It remains unclear whether acupuncture or our simulated method of acupuncture provide physiologically important stimulation or represent placebo or nonspecific	
49	1/C/3	Nonsurgical Treatment; Acupuncture	Xu M, Yan S, Yin X, Li X, Gao S, Han R, Wei L, Luo W, Lei G. Acupuncture for chronic low back pain in long-term follow-up: a meta-analysis of 13 randomized controlled trials. Am J Chin Med. 2013;41(1):1-19. PMID: 23336503			Chronic low back pain is one of the most common reasons that people seek medical treatment, and the consequent disability creates a great financial burden on individuals and society. The etiology of chronic low back pain is not clear, which means it is often refractory to treatment. Acupuncture has been reported to be effective in providing symptomatic relief of chronic low back pain. However, it is not known whether the effects of acupuncture are due to the needling itself or nonspecific effects arising from the manipulation. To determine the effectiveness of acupuncture therapy, a meta-analysis was performed to compare acupuncture with sham acupuncture and other treatments. Overall, 2678 patients were identified from thirteen randomized controlled trials. The meta-analysis was performed by a random model (Cohen's test), using the I-square test for heterogeneity and Begg's test to assess for publication bias. Clinical outcomes were evaluated by pain intensity, disability, spinal flexion, and quality of life. Compared with no treatment, acupuncture achieved better outcomes in terms of pain relief, disability recovery and better quality of life, but these effects were not observed when compared to sham acupuncture. Acupuncture achieved better outcomes when compared with other treatments. No publication bias was detected. Acupuncture is an effective treatment for chronic low back pain, but this effect is likely to be produced by the nonspecific effects of manipulation.	Systematic review of use of acupuncture in the treatment of low back pain, concluding that both sham and conventional acupuncture methods are effective. → Supports the use of either conventional or sham acupuncture as a component of non-surgical care for low back pain.
50	1/C/3	Injection therapy	Spinal injections: Health Technology Clinical Committee findings and coverage decision. Washington State Health Care Authority. June 17, 2011.	VM Tier-1 Source	/findings_decision_spinal_injections_061 711.pdf	Based on the evidence about the technologies' safety, efficacy, and cost-effectiveness, therapeutic Sacroiliac Joint Injections for chronic pain is a covered benefit when all of the following conditions are met: with Fluoroscopic guidance or CT guidance; after failure of conservative therapy; mo more than one without clinically meaningful improvement in pain and function, under agency review.	→ HTAP supports conditional use of injections.
51	1/C/3	Injection therapy	Friedly JL, Comstock BA, Turner JA, Heagerty PJ, Deyo RA, Sullivan SD, Bauer Z, Bresnahan BW, Avins AL, Nedeljkovic SS, Nerenz DR, Standaert C, Kessler L, Akuthota V, Annaswamy T, Chen A, Diehn F, Firtch W, Gerges FJ, Gilligan C, Goldberg H, Kennedy DJ, Mandel S, Tyburski M, Sanders W, Sibell D, Smuck M, Wasan A, Won L, Jarvik JG. Randomized trial of epidural glucocorticoid injections for spinal stenosis. New England Journal of Medicine, 3 July 2014. 371(1): 11-21. PMID: 24988555	2/B	NEJMoa1313265	Abstract: BACKGROUND: Epidural glucocorticoid injections are widely used to treat symptoms of lumbar spinal stenosis, a common cause of pain and disability in older adults. However, rigorous data are lacking regarding the effectiveness and safety of these injections. METHODS: In a double-blind, multisite trial, we randomly assigned 400 patients who had lumbar central spinal stenosis and moderate-to-severe leg pain and disability to receive epidural injections of glucocorticoids plus lidocaine or lidocaine alone. The patients received one or two injections before the primary outcome evaluation, performed 6 weeks after randomization and the first injection. The primary outcomes were the score on the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ, in which scores range from 0 to 24, with higher scores indicating greater physical disability) and the rating of the intensity of leg pain (on a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 indicating no pain and 10 indicating "pain as bad as you can imagine"). RESULTS: At 6 weeks, there were no significant between-group differences in the RMDQ score (adjusted difference in the average treatment effect between the glucocorticoid-lidocaine group and the lidocaine-alone group, -1.0 points; 95% confidence interval [CI], -2.1 to 0.1; P=0.07) or the intensity of leg pain (adjusted difference in the average treatment effect, -0.2 points; 95% CI, -0.8 to 0.4; P=0.48). A prespecified secondary subgroup analysis with stratification according to type of injection (interlaminar vs. transforaminal) likewise showed no significant differences at 6 weeks. CONCLUSIONS: In the treatment of lumbars spinal stenosis, epidural injection of glucocorticoids plus lidocaine affered minimal or no short-term benefit as compared with epidural injection of lidocaine alone. (Funded by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT01238536.)	spinal stenosis. N Engl J Med. 2014 Jul 3;371(1):75-6. PMID: 24988561. Randomized, blinded, intention-to-treat study with allocation concealed that did not include sham injections and that permitted variation in the type of glucocorticoid used as well as injection approach. Small, statistically significant but clinically insignificant improvement in RMDQ and pain at 6 weeks but no statistically significant effect at 6 weeks. Symptoms of depression and patient satisfaction were secondary outcomes and were slightly improved. → Study supports the conclusion that local glucocorticoid injections are ineffective for

52	Epidural injection therapy	Singla A, Yang S, Werner BC, Cancienne JM, Nourbakhsh A, Shimer AL, Hassanzadeh H, Shen FH. The impact of preoperative epidural injections on postoperative infection in lumbar fusion surgery. J Neurosurg Spine. 2017 May;26(5):645-649. PMID: 28291411	2/B	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	OBJECTIVE Lumbar epidural steroid injections (LESIs) are performed for both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes for a variety of indications, including low-back pain, the leading cause of disability and expense due to work-related conditions in the US. The steroid agent used in epidural injections is reported to relieve nerve root inflammation, local ischemia, and resultant pain, but the injection may also have an adverse impact on spinal surgery performed thereafter. In particular, the possibility that preoperative epidural injections may increase the risk of surgical site infection after lumbar spinal fusion has been reported but has not been studied in detail. The goal of the present study was to use a large national insurance database to analyze the association of preoperative LESIs with surgical site infection after lumbar spinal fusion. METHODS A nationwide insurance database of patient records was used for this retrospective analysis. Current Procedural Terminology codes were used to query the database for patients who had undergone LESI and 1- or 2-level lumbar posterior spinal fusion procedures. The rate of postoperative infection after 1- or 2-level posterior spinal fusion was analyzed. These study patients were then divided into 3 separate cohorts: 1) lumbar spinal fusion performed within 1 month after LESI, 2) fusion performed between 1 and 3 months after LESI, and 3) fusion performed between 1 and 3 months after LESI, and 3) fusion performed between land 3 months after LESI, and 3 fusion performed within 1 month (OR 2.6, p < 0.0001) or 1-3 months (OR 1.4, p = 0.0002) prior to surgery compared with controls. The infection risk was not significantly different from controls in patients who underwent lumbar fusion more than 3 months after LESI. CONCLUSIONS Lumbar spinal fusion performed within 3 months after LESI may be associated with an increased rate of postoperative infection. This association was not found when lumbar fusion was performed more than 3 months after LESI.	A claims-based retrospective cohort study comparing 30,683 patients over 65 years of age receiving epideral steroid injections compared to 70,857 of the same age range who did not with respect to postoperative infection following 1 or 2 level lumbar fusion surgery. Patient receiving epidural steroid injection within the 3 months prior to lumbar fusion surgery had increased rates of infection. Controlled for major comorbidities. —> Supports the conclusion that epidural steroid injections are associated with infection when administered within 3 months of subsequent surgery.
53 I/D/5	Collaborative conference	Yanamadala V(1), Kim Y, Buchlak QD, Wright AK, Babington J, Friedman A, Mecklenburg RS, Farrokhi F, Leveque JC, Sethi RK. Multidisciplinary Evaluation Leads to the Decreased Utilization of Lumbar Spine Fusion: An Observational Cohort Pilot Study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2017 Sep 1;42(17):E1016-E1023. PMID: 28067696	2/B	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	STUDY DESIGN: Observational cohort pilot study. OBJECTIVE: To determine the impact of a multidisciplinary conference on treatment decisions for lumbar degenerative spine disease. SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND DATA: Multidisciplinary decision making improves outcomes in many disciplines. The lack of integrated systems for comprehensive care for spinal disorders has contributed to the inappropriate overutilization of spine surgery in the United States. METHODS: We implemented a multidisciplinary conference involving physiatrists, anesthesiologists, pain specialists, neurosurgeons, orthopaedic spine surgeons, physical therapists, and nursing staff. Over 10 months, we presented patients being considered for spinal fusion or who had a complex history of prior spinal surgery. We compared the decision to proceed with surgery and the proposed surgical approach proposed by outside surgeons with the consensus of our multidisciplinary conference. We also assessed comprehensive demographics and comorbidities for the patients and examined outcomes for surgical patients. RESULTS: A total of 137 consecutive patients were reviewed at our multidisciplinary conference during the 10-month period. Of these, 100 patients had been recommended for lumbar spine fusion by an outside surgeon. Consensus opinion of the multidisciplinary conference advocated for nonoperative management in 58 patients (58%) who had been previously recommended for spinal fusion at another institution ($\chi = 26.6$; $\rho < 0.01$). Furthermore, the surgical treatment plan was revised as a product of the conference in 28% (16 pc.). Furthermore, the surgical treatment surgery ($\chi = 43.6$; $\rho < 0.01$). We had zero 30-day complications in surgical patients. CONCLUSION: Isolated surgical decision making may result in suboptimal treatment recommendations. Multidisciplinary conferences can reduce the utilization of lumbar spinal fusion, possibly resulting in more appropriate use of surgical interventions with better candidate selection while providing patients with more diverse	fusion do not meet evidence based appropriateness and safety standards and are likely better

Page 16 of 33

	1	1				
54	II/A/1	BMI-Obesity	Buerba R.A., Fu M.C., Gruskay J.A., Long III W.D., Grauer J.N. Obese Class III	2/B	Background context: Prior studies on the impact of obesity on spine surgery outcomes have focused mostly on	
			patients at significantly greater risk of multiple complications after lumbar surgery:		lumbar fusions, do not examine lumbar discectomies or decompressions, and have shown mixed results	≥ 40 had a "statistically increased risk of having increased time spent in the operating room, an
			an analysis of 10,387 patients in the ACS NSQIP database. Spine J, 2013 Dec 6. pii:		regarding complications. Differences in sample sizes and body mass index (BMI) thresholds for the definition of	
			S1529-9430(13)01962-1 [epub ahead of print]. PMID: 24316118		the obese versus comparison cohorts could account for the inconsistencies in the literature. Purpose: The	p<.05)."
					purpose of the study was to analyze whether different degrees of obesity influence the complication rates in	→ Relates elevated BMI to pulmonary embolism, deep venous thrombosis, death, and septic
					patients undergoing lumbar spine surgery. Study design/setting: This was a retrospective cohort analysis of	complications.
					prospectively collected data using the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement	
					Program (ACS NSQIP) database from 2005 to 2010. Patient sample: Patients in the de-identified, risk-adjusted,	
					and multi-institutional ACS NSQIP database undergoing lumbar anterior fusion, posterior fusion, transforaminal	
					lumbar interbody fusion/posterior lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF/PLIF), discectomy, or decompression were	
					included. Outcome measures: Primary outcome measures were 30-day postsurgical complications, including	
					pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis, death, system-specific complications (wound, pulmonary,	
					urinary, central nervous system, and cardiac), septic complications, and having one or more complications	
					overall. Secondary outcomes were time spent in the operating room, blood transfusions, length of stay, and	
					reoperation within 30 days. Methods: Patients undergoing lumbar anterior fusion, posterior fusion, TLIF/PLIF,	
					discectomy, or decompression in the ACS NSQIP, 2005 to 2010, were categorized into four BMI groups:	
					nonobese (18.5-29.9 kg/m2), Obese I (30-34.9 kg/m2), Obese II (35-39.9 kg/m2), and Obese III (greater than or	
					equal to 40 kg/m2). Obese I to III patients were compared with patients in the nonobese category using chi-	
					square test and analysis of variance. Multivariate linear/logistic regression models were used to adjust for	
					preoperative risk factors. Results: Data were available for 10,387 patients undergoing lumbar surgery. Of these,	
					4.5% underwent anterior fusion, 17.9% posterior fusion, 6.3% TLIF/PLIF, 40.7% discectomy, and 30.5% decompression. Among all patients, 25.6% were in the Obese I group, 11.5% Obese II, and 6.9% Obese III. On	
					multivariate analysis, Obese I and III had a significantly increased risk of urinary complications, and Obese II and III patients had a significantly increased risk of wound complications. Only Obese III patients, however, had a	
					statistically increased risk of having increased time spent in the operating room, an extended length of stay,	
					pulmonary complications, and having one or more complications (all p<.05). Conclusions: Patients with high BMI	
					appear to have higher complication rates after lumbar surgery than patients who are nonobese. However, the	
55	II/A/1	BMI-Obesity			Abstract: STUDY DESIGN: Retrospective subgroup analysis of prospectively collected data according to	"Obesity does not affect the clinical outcome of operative treatment of SpS. There are higher
			Freedman MK. Albert TJ. Weinstein JN. Does obesity affect outcomes of		treatment received. OBJECTIVE: The purpose of this study was to determine whether obesity affects	rates of infection and reoperation and less improvement from baseline in the SF-36 physical
			treatment for lumbar stenosis and degenerative spondylolisthesis? Analysis of the		treatment outcomes for lumbar stenosis (SpS) and degenerative spondylolisthesis (DS). SUMMARY OF	function score in obese patients after surgery for DS. Nonoperative treatment may not be as
			Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). Spine. 37(23):1933-46, 2012 Nov	00	 BACKGROUND DATA: Obesity is thought to be associated with increased complications and potentially less	effective in obese patients with SpS or DS."
			1. PMID: 22614793		favorable outcomes after the treatment of degenerative conditions of the lumbar spine. This, however, remains	→ 2/B grade is for the treatment recommendation of weight loss prior to surgery.
					a matter of debate in the existing literature. METHODS: An as-treated analysis was performed on patients	
					enrolled in the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial for the treatment of SpS or DS. A comparison was made	
					between patients with a body mass index (BMI) of less than 30 ("nonobese," n = 373 SpS and 376 DS) and those	
					with a BMI of 30 or more ("obese," n = 261 SpS and 225 DS). Baseline patient characteristics, intraoperative	
					data, and complications were documented. Primary and secondary outcomes were measured at baseline and regular follow-up time intervals up to 4 years. The difference in improvement over baseline between surgical	
					and nonsurgical treatment (i.e., treatment effect) was determined at each follow-up interval for the obese and	
					nonobese groups. RESULTS: At 4-year follow-up, operative and nonoperative treatment provided	
					improvement in all primary outcome measures over baseline in patients with BMI of less than 30 and 30 or	
					more. For patients with SpS, there were no differences in the surgical complication or reoperation rates	
					between groups. Patients with DS with BMI of 30 or more had a higher postoperative infection rate (5% vs. 1%,	
					P = 0.05) and twice the reoperation rate at 4-year follow-up (20% vs. 11%, P = 0.01) than those with BMI of less	
					than 30. At 4 years, surgical treatment of SpS and DS was equally effective in both BMI groups in terms of the	
					primary outcome measures, with the exception that obese patients with DS had less improvement from	
					baseline in the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) physical function score than nonobese patients (22.6	
					vs. 27.9, P = 0.022). With nonoperative treatment, patients with SpS with BMI of 30 or more did worse in regard	
				[to all 3 primary outcome measures, and patients with DS with BMI of 30 or more had similar SF-36 bodily pain	
				[scores but less improvement over baseline in the SF-36 physical function and Oswestry Disability Index scores.	
•					Treatment effects for SpS and DS were significant within each BMI group for all primary outcome measures in	
					favor of surgery. Obese patients had a significantly greater treatment effect than nonobese patients with SpS	

Page 17 of 33 September 2014

		PMI Obosity	Abdallah DV Jadaan MAA MacCaba ID. Rody macs indox and rick of currical site	2/P	https://www.pchi.plm.pih.gov/pms/artis	BUDDOSE Inconsistant results have been reported in the literature on the acceptation between obesity	A most analysis of 12 retrospective studies that included variable sutoff standards for RMLA
		BMI-Obesity	Abdallah DY, Jadaan MM, McCabe JP. Body mass index and risk of surgical site infection following spine surgery: a meta-analysis. Eur Spine J. 2013 Dec; 22(12):2800-9. doi: 10.1007/s00586-013-2890-6. Epub 2013 Jul 5. Review. PMID: 23828507	2/B	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/artic les/PMC3843806/	PURPOSE: Inconsistent results have been reported in the literature on the association between obesity, expressed as increased body mass index (BMI), and risk for surgical site infection (SSI) following spine surgery. The objective of this study was to review and quantify the association between increased BMI and risk of spinal SSI in adults. METHODS: We performed a comprehensive search for relevant studies using PubMed, Embase, and references of published manuscripts. Study-specific risk measures were transformed into slope estimates and combined using the random effects meta-analysis model to establish the risk of SSI associated with every 5-unit increase in BMI. RESULTS: Thirty-four articles underwent full-text review. Variations were noted among these studies in relation to SSI diagnosis criteria and BMI cut-off levels used to define obesity. Data from 12 retrospective studies were included in the analyses. Results showed that BMI was significantly positively associated with the risk of spinal SSI. Unadjusted risk estimates demonstrated that a 5-unit increase in BMI was associated with 13 % increased risk of SSI (Crude odds ratio (OR): 1.13; 95 % CI: 1.07-1.19, p < 0.0001). Pooling of risk estimates adjusted for diabetes and other confounders resulted in a 21 % increase in risk of spinal SSI for every 5-unit increase in BMI (adjusted OR: 1.21; 95 % CI: 1.13-1.29, p < 0.0001). CONCLUSION: Higher BMI is associated with the increased risk of SSI following spine surgery. Prospective studies are needed to confirm this association and to determine whether other measures of fat distribution are better predictors of risk of SSI.	A meta-analysis of 12 retrospective studies that included variable cutoff standards for BMI. A substantial porportion of studies omitted adjustment for confounding variables> Study has some shortcomings but supports the association between BMI and surgical site infection.
64	II/A/6	Smoking Cessation	Møller AM, Villebro N, Pedersen T, Tønnesen H. Effect of preoperative smoking intervention on postoperative complications: a randomised clinical trial. Lancet. 2002 Jan 12; 359(9301): 114-7. PMID: 11809253	1/A	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140- 6736(02)07369-5	BACKGROUND: Smokers are at higher risk of cardiopulmonary and wound-related postoperative complications than non-smokers. Our aim was to investigate the effect of preoperative smoking intervention on the frequency of postoperative complications in patients undergoing hip and knee replacement. METHODS: We did a randomised trial in three hospitals in Denmark. 120 patients were randomly assigned 6-8 weeks before scheduled surgery to either the control (n=60) or smoking intervention (60) group. Smoking intervention was counselling and nicotine replacement therapy, and either smoking cessation or at least 50% smoking reduction. An assessor, who was masked to the intervention, registered the occurrence of cardiopulmonary, renal, neurological, or surgical complications and duration of hospital admittance. The main analysis was by intention to treat. FINDINGS: Eight controls and four patients from the intervention group were excluded from the final analysis because their operations were either postponed or cancelled. Thus, 52 and 56 patients, respectively, were analysed for outcome. The overall complication rate was 18% in the smoking intervention group and 52% in controls (p=0.0003). The most significant effects of intervention were seen for wound-related complications (5% vs 31%, p=0.001), cardiovascular complications (0% vs 10%, p=0.08), and secondary surgery (4% vs 15%, p=0.07). The median length of stay was 11 days (range 7-55) in the intervention group and 13 days (8-65) in the control group. INTERPRETATION: An effective smoking intervention programme 6-8 weeks before surgery reduces postoperative morbidity, and we recommend, on the basis of our results, this programme be adopted	morbidity. → Cohort is patients undergoing hip or knee replacement.
65	II/A/6	Smoking Cessation	Thomsen T(1), Villebro N, Møller AM. Interventions for preoperative smoking cessation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014 Mar 27;(3):CD002294. PMID: 24671929	VM Tier-1 Source	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.10 02/14651858.CD002294.pub4/abstract	BACKGROUND: Smokers have a substantially increased risk of postoperative complications. Preoperative smoking intervention may be effective in decreasing this incidence, and surgery may constitute a unique opportunity for smoking cessation interventions. OBJECTIVES: The objective of this review was to assess the effect of preoperative smoking intervention on smoking cessation at the time of surgery and 12 months postoperatively and on the incidence of postoperative complications. SEARCH STRATEGY: The specialized register of the Cochrane Tobacco Addiction Group was searched using the free text and keywords (surgery) or (operation) or (anaesthesia) or (anesthesia). MEDLINE, EMBASE and CINAHL were also searched, combining tobacco- and surgery-related terms. Most recent search April 2010. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomized controlled trials that recruited people who smoked prior to surgery, offered a smoking cessation intervention, and measured preoperative and long-term abstinence from smoking and/or the incidence of postoperative complications. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: The authors independently assessed studies to determine eligibility. Results were discussed between the authors. MAIN RESULTS: Eight trials enrolling a total of 1156 people met the inclusion criteria. One of these did not report cessation as an outcome. Two trials initiated multisession face to face counselling at least 6 weeks before surgery whilst six used a brief intervention. Nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) was offered or recommended to some or all participants in seven trials. Six trials detected significantly increased smoking cessation at the time of surgery; pooled RR 1.0.76 (95% confidence interval (CI) 4.55 to 25.46, two trials) and RR 1.41 (95% CI 1.22 to 1.63, five trials) respectively. Four trials evaluating the effect on long-term smoking cessation at the time of surgery; pooled RR 1.61 (95% CI 1.12 to 2.33). However, when pooling intensive and brief interventions separately, only intensive intervention retained a significant effec	

Page 18 of 33 September 2014

66	II/A/6	Smoking Cessation	Lindström D, Sadr Azodi O, Wladis A, Tønnesen H, Linder S, Nåsell H, Ponzer S, Adami J. Effects of a perioperative smoking cessation intervention on postoperative complications: a randomized trial. Ann Surg. 2008 Nov; 248(5): 739-45. PMID: 18948800	1/A	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=J S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00000658-200811000- 00008&LSLINK=80&D=ovft	OBJECTIVE: To determine whether an intervention with smoking cessation starting 4 weeks before general and orthopedic surgery would reduce the frequency of postoperative complications. SUMMARY BACKGROUND DATA: Complications are a major concern after elective surgery and smokers have an increased risk. There is insufficient evidence concerning how the duration of preoperative smoking intervention affects postoperative complications. METHODS: A randomized controlled trial, conducted between February 2004 and December 2006 at 4 university-affiliated hospitals in the Stockholm region, Sweden. The outcome assessment was blinded. The follow-up period for the primary outcome was 30 days. Eligibility criteria were active daily smokers, aged 18 to 79 years. Of the 238 patients assessed, 76 refused participating, and 117 men and women undergoing surgery for primary hernia repair, laparoscopic cholecystectomy, or a hip or knee prosthesis were enrolled. INTERVENTION: Smoking cessation therapy with individual counseling and nicotine substitution started 4 weeks before surgery and continued 4 weeks postoperatively. The control group received standard care. The main outcome measure was frequency of any postoperative complication. RESULTS: An intention-to-treat analysis showed that the overall complication rate in the control group was 41%, and in the intervention group, it was 21% (P = 0.03). Relative risk reduction for the primary outcome of any postoperative complication was 49% and number needed to treat was 5 (95% CI, 3-40). An analysis per protocol showed that abstainers had fewer complications (15%) than those who continued to smoke or only reduced smoking (35%), although this difference was not statistically significant. CONCLUSION: Perioperative smoking cessation seems to be an effective tool to reduce postoperative complications even if it is introduced as late as 4 weeks before surgery.	risk reduction for any postop complication was 49% and number needed to treat was 5. → Supports the conclusion that smoking cessation prior to surgery reduces postoperative complications if smoking discontinued as late as four weeks prior to surgery.
		Second hand smoke exposure	Baltar VT, Xun WW, Chuang SC, Relton C, Ueland PM, Vollset SE, Midttun Ø, et al. Smoking, secondhand smoke, and cotinine levels in a subset of EPIC cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2011 May;20(5):869-75. PMID: 21357382	3/C			
78	∥/C/1/d	Glycemic Control	Dronge AS, Perkal MF, Kancir S, Concato J, Aslan M, Rosenthal RA. Long-term glycemic control and postoperative infectious complications. Arch Surg. 2006 Apr; 141(4): 375-80; discussion 380. PMID: 16618895	2/B	http://archsurg.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=398289	Abstract: HYPOTHESIS: Good preoperative glycemic control (hemoglobin A(1c) [HbA(1c)] levels <7%) is associated with decreased postoperative infections. DESIGN: Retrospective observational study using Veterans Affairs National Surgical Quality Improvement Program data from the Veterans Affairs Connecticut Healthcare System from January 1, 2000, through September 30, 2003. SETTING: Veterans Affairs Connecticut Healthcare System, a tertiary referral center and major university teaching site. PATIENTS: Six hundred forty-seven diabetic patients underwent major noncardiac surgery during the study period; 139 were excluded because the HbA(1c) levels were more than 180 days prior to surgery; 19 were excluded for other reasons; 490 diabetic patients were analyzed. The study patients were predominantly nonblack men with a median age of 71 years. MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: Primary outcomes were infectious complications, including pneumonia, wound infection, urinary tract infection, or sepsis. Bivariate analysis was used first to determine the association of each independent variable (age, race, diabetic treatment, American Society of Anesthesiologists classification, Activities of Daily Living assessment, elective vs emergent procedure, wound classification, operation length, and HbA(1c) levels) with outcome. Factors significant at P<.05 were used in a multivariable logistic regression model. RESULTS: In the multivariable model, age, American Society of Anesthesiologists class, operation length, wound class, and HbA(1c) levels were significantly associated with postoperative infections. Emergency/urgent cases and dependence in Activities of Daily Living were significant in bivariate analysis but failed to reach statistical significance in the multivariable model. An HbA(1c) level of less than 7% was significantly associated with decreased infectious complications with an adjusted odds ratio of 2.13 (95% confidence interval, 1.23-3.70) and a P value of .007. CONCLUSION: Good preoperative glycemic control (HbA(1c) levels <7%) i	
	II/C/1/d	Glycemic Control	Buchleitner AM, Martínez-Alonso M, Hernández M, Solà I, Mauricio D. Perioperative glycaemic control for diabetic patients undergoing surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012 Sep 12;(9):CD007315. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007315.pub2. Review. PubMed PMID: 22972106.	2/B	http://cochranelibrary- wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD007 315.pub2/abstract;jsessionid=BA64E43E 6E04FB9BE4602F452CAA3E12.f03t02	Add abstract	Meta analysis of 12 randomized trials. "11 trials included patients requiring admission to the ICU and eight included patients undergoing cardiovascular surgical interventions." -> Does not support a clear benefit related to intensive diabetes control in the perioperative period in terms of avoiding surgical complications. In "posthoc evaluation, the implementation of intensive glycaemic control was associated with a higher number of patients experiencing hypoglycaemic episodes."
		Glycemic Control	Guzman JZ, latridis JC, Skovrlj B, Cutler HS, Hecht AC, Qureshi SA, Cho SK. Outcomes and complications of diabetes mellitus on patients undergoing degenerative lumbar spine surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2014 Sep 1;39(19):1596-604. doi: 10.1097/BRS.000000000000482. PMID: 24983935	2/B	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nlh.gov/pmc/artic les/PMC4149698/	Add abstract	Retrospective study using the Nationwide Inpatient Sample comparing patients with uncontrolled diabetes, controlled diabetes, and no diabetes with regard to complications following lumbar spine surgery. Compared to patients without diabetes, patients with uncontrolled diabetes had a great risk of complications, an increased length of stay, cost of care, and mortality. Patients with controlled diabetes had an intermediate risk. Level of diabetes control judged on basis of provider coding without correlation with blood sugar or A1C levels. > Supports the conclusion that patients with diabetes have an increased risk of complications following lumbar spine surgery, with highest risk in those patients with uncontrolled diabetes.

		Glycemic Control	Hikata T, Iwanami A, Hosogane N, Watanabe K, Ishii K, Nakamura M, Kamata M, Toyama Y, Matsumoto M. High preoperative hemoglobin A1c is a risk factor for surgical site infection after posterior thoracic and lumbar spinal instrumentation surgery. J Orthop Sci. 2014 Mar;19(2):223-8. doi: 10.1007/s00776-013-0518-7. Epub 2013 Dec 25. PMID: 24368606.	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	Add abstract	Retrospective cohort study of only 36 patients undergoing instrumented spine surgery with a 1 year follow-up. Patients with preoperative A1c values less than 7% had no surgical site infections. Patients with A1c values greater than 7% had a rate of surgical site infections of 35%. Perioperative serum glucose was not related to surgical site infections. > Supports the benefit of optimal presurgical control of diabetes.
67	II/A/7	Unhealthy alcohol use	Smith PC, Schmidt SM, Allensworth-Davies D, Saitz R. Primary care validation of a single-question alcohol screening test. J Gen Intern Med. 2009 Jul; 24(7): 783-8. PMID: 19247718	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/artices/PMC2695521/	BACKGROUND: Unhealthy alcohol use is prevalent but under-diagnosed in primary care settings. OBJECTIVE: To validate, in primary care, a single-item screening test for unhealthy alcohol use recommended by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA). DESIGN: Cross-sectional study. PARTICIPANTS: Adult English speaking patients recruited from primary care waiting rooms. MEASUREMENTS: Participants were asked the single screening question, "How many times in the past year have you had X or more drinks in a day?", where X is 5 for men and 4 for women, and a response of 1 or greater [corrected] is considered positive. Unhealthy alcohol use was defined as the presence of an alcohol use disorder, as determined by a standarded diagnostic interview, or risky consumption, as determined using a validated 30-day calendar method. MAIN RESULTS: Of 394 eligible primary care patients, 286 (73%) completed the interview. The single-question screen was 81.8% sensitive (95% confidence interval (CI) 72.5% to 88.5%) and 79.3% specific (95% CI 73.1% to 84.4%) for the detection of unhealthy alcohol use. It was slightly more sensitive (87.9%, 95% CI 72.7% to 95.2%) but was less specific (66.8%, 95% CI 60.8% to 72.3%) for the detection of a current alcohol use disorder. Test characteristics were similar to that of a commonly used three-item screen, and were affected very little by subject demographic characteristics. CONCLUSIONS: The single screening question recommended by the NIAAA accurately identified unhealthy alcohol use in this sample of primary care patients. These findings support the use of this brief screen in primary care.	
57	II/A/10	Screen for Dementia; Screening tool	Freitas S, Simões MR, Alves L, Duro D, Santana I. Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA): validation study for frontotemporal dementia. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol. 2012 Sep; 25(3): 146-54. PMID: 22859702		The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) is a brief instrument developed for the screening of milder forms of cognitive impairment, having surpassed the well-known limitations of the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). The aim of the present study was to validate the MoCA as a cognitive screening test for behavioral-variant frontotemporal dementia (bv-FTD) by examining its psychometric properties and diagnostic accuracy. Three matched subgroups of participants were considered: bv-FTD (n = 50), Alzheimer disease (n = 50), and a control group of healthy adults (n = 50). Compared with the MMSE, the MoCA demonstrated consistently superior psychometric properties and discriminant capacity, providing comprehensive information about the patients' cognitive profiles. The diagnostic accuracy of MoCA for bv-FTD was extremely high (area under the curve AUC [MoCA] = 0.934, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.866974; AUC [MMSE] = 0.7772, 95% CI = 0.677-0.850). With a cutoff below 17 points, the MoCA results for sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and classification accuracy were significantly superior to those of the MMSE. The MoCA is a sensitive and accurate instrument for screening the patients with bv-FTD and represents a better option than the MMSE.	Validates use of MoCA as an instrument for screening for cognitive impairment. → Limitation: study cohort is patients undergoing hip surgery for displaced femoral neck fracture.
58	W/A/11	Depression screening	Wahlman M(1), Häkkinen A, Dekker J, Marttinen I, Vihtonen K, Neva MH. The prevalence of depressive symptoms before and after surgery and its association with disability in patients undergoing lumbar spinal fusion. Eur Spine J. 2014 Jan;23(1):129-34. PMID: 23880866		PURPOSE: The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of depressive symptoms and disability preoperatively, at 3 months and at 1 year after lumbar spine fusion surgery. METHODS: Data was extracted from a dedicated lumbar spine fusion register, giving 232 patients (mean age 62 years, 158 females) who had undergone instrumented lumbar spine fusion. The frequency of depressive symptoms and disability was evaluated using the Depression Scale (DEPS) and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI). RESULTS: Depressive symptoms were found in 34, 13, and 15 % of the patients pre-operatively, at 3 months and at 1 year after surgery, respectively. The mean DEPS score decreased from 16.2 to 8.6 (p < 0.001) in patients who had depressive symptoms pre-operatively, and from 6.1 to 3.8 (p < 0.001) in those patients without pre-operative depressive symptoms. The mean ODI values pre-operatively, at 3 months and at 1 year after surgery were 53, 30, and 23, respectively, in patients with pre-operative depressive symptoms and 41, 23, and 20 in those patients without pre-operative depressive symptoms. The differences between the groups were statistically significant at all time points (p < 0.001). CONCLUSIONS: One-third of our patients with chronic back pain undergoing spinal fusion had depressive symptoms pre-operatively. The prevalence of depressive symptoms decreased after surgery. Although disability remained higher in those patients who had reported depressive symptoms pre-operatively, disability did decrease significantly in both groups post-operatively. Thus, there is no need to exclude depressive patients from operation, but screening measures and appropriate treatment practises throughout both pre-operative and post-operative periods are encouraged.	population. → Supports the conclusion that depression is common in patients prior to and following lumbar fusion.

Page 20 of 33

59	II/A/11	Depression screening	Sinikallio S(1), Aalto T, Airaksinen O, Herno A, Kröger H, Savolainen S, Turunen V, Viinamäki H. Depression is associated with poorer outcome of lumbar spinal stenosis surgery. Eur Spine J. 2007 Jul;16(7):905-12. PMID: 17394027	2/B http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/artices/PMC2219645/pdf/586 2007 Article 349.pdf	The objective of this observational prospective study was to investigate the effect of depression on short-term outcome after lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) surgery. Surgery was performed on 99 patients with clinically and radiologically defined LSS, representing ordinary LSS patients treated at the secondary care level. They completed questionnaires before surgery and 3 months postoperatively. Depression was assessed with the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). Physical functioning and pain were assessed with Oswestry disability index, Stucki Questionnaire, self-reported walking ability, visual analogue scale (VAS) and pain drawing. Preoperatively, 20% of the patients had depression. In logistic regression analyses, significant associations were seen between preoperative depression and postoperative high Oswestry disability and Stucki severity scores and high intensity of pain (VAS score). In subsequent analyses, the patients with continuous depression, measured with BDI (60% of the patients who had preoperative depression), showed fewer improvements in symptom severity, disability score, pain intensity and walking capacity than the patients who did not experience depression at any phase. In those patients who recovered from depression, according to BDI-scores (35% of the patients with preoperative depression), the postoperative improvement was rather similar to the improvement seen in the normal mood group. In the surgical treatment of LSS, we recommend that the clinical practice should include an assessment of depression.	Prospective cohort study measuring prognosis for recovery in patients with preoperative depression. Patients remaining with persistent depression had less improvement following surgery. Small "n." Follow-up limited to three months. Type of surgery not specified and follow-up care not specified. → Supports value of preoperative detection of depression.
60	II/A/13	Screen for Osteoporosis	Schreiber JJ, Hughes AP, Taher F, Girardi FP. An association can be found between hounsfield units and success of lumbar spine fusion. HSS J. 2014 Feb;10(1):25-9. PMID: 24482618	2/B	BACKGROUND: Measuring Hounsfield units (HUs) from computed tomography (CT) scans has recently been proposed as a tool for assessing vertebral bone quality, as it has been associated with bone mineral density, compressive strength, and fracture risk. Vertebral bone quality is believed to be an important determinant of outcome and complication rates following spine surgery and potentially influences success of interbody spinal fusion. QUESTIONS/PURPOSES: The purpose of this study was to investigate the association between HU on CT scans and fusion success in patients with lateral transposas surgery for lumbar interbody fusion (LIF). METHODS: The CT scans of 28 patients with a combined 52 levels of stand-alone LIF were evaluated at a minimum of 12 weeks postoperatively. Coronal and sagittal images were evaluated for evidence of fusion, and HU values were collected from axial images. HU measurements were also taken from vertebral bodies proximal to the construct to evaluate global bone quality. RESULTS: Of the 52 LIF levels, 73% were assessed as fused and 27% were nonunited at the time of evaluation. The successful fusion levels had significantly higher HU measurements than the nonunion levels (20.3 vs. 139.8, p < 0.001). Patients with successful fusion constructs also had higher global bone density when vertebral bodies proximal to the construct were compared (133.7 vs. 107.3, p < 0.05). CONCLUSION: With the aging population and increasing prevalence of osteoporosis, preoperative assessment of bone quality prior to spinal fusion deserves special consideration. We found that a successful lumbar fusion was associated with patients with higher bone density, as assessed with HU, both globally and within the fusion construct, as compared to patients with CT evidence of nonunion.	Retrospective cohort study of 28 patients with spinal fusion with subsequent measurement of bone quality as judged by CT scans (Hounsfield Units). Patients with successful fusion had higher global bone density than patients with nonfusion, as measured at minimum 12 weeks postoperative. 3 Low quality study due to small cohort and retrospective design. Relates successful lumbar fusion to higher bone density.
61	II/A/13	Screen for Osteoporosis	Chin DK(1), Park JY, Yoon YS, Kuh SU, Jin BH, Kim KS, Cho YE. Prevalence of osteoporosis in patients requiring spine surgery: incidence and significance of osteoporosis in spine disease. Osteoporos Int. 2007 Sep;18(9):1219-24. PMID: 17387420	2/B	The purpose of this study is to evaluate the incidence of osteoporosis in patients requiring spine surgery. Among patients older than 50 years, the rate of osteoporosis in males was 14.5% and the rate osteoporosis in females was 51.3%. We strongly recommend an evaluation and treatment for osteoporosis in the patients requiring spine surgery, especially in females over 50 years old.INTRODUCTION: Because lifespan is increasing, there is an increase in the incidence of osteoporosis in elderly spine surgery patients. The osteoporosis may adversely influence the fusion rate and the surgical outcome. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the incidence of osteoporosis in patients requiring spine surgery. METHODS: A total of 1,321 patients underwent spine surgeries at our institute from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005. Among them, there were 562 patients (42.5%) younger than 50 years old, and 759 patients (57.6%) older than 50 years old. Prior to operation, we evaluated the patients for osteoporosis on both the femur head and lumbar spine by measuring the bone mineral density (BMD) by the dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). Based on the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria for osteoporosis, we chose the T-score to determine normal (>-1), osteopenia (-1>or=, >-2.5), and osteoporosis (<or=-2.5). (0.3%)="" (14.5%)="" (2.3%)="" (3.9%)="" (41.4%)="" (46.1%)="" (51.3%)="" (68.0%)="" 13="" 134="" 166="" 193="" 2="" 22="" 28="" 323="" 50="" 516="" 562="" 676="" 759="" 89="" a="" age.="" among="" and="" cases="" dxa="" female="" females.="" fusion.="" higher="" in="" incidence="" increased="" increasing="" major="" male="" males="" of="" older="" on="" operation="" or="" osteopenia="" osteoporia="" osteoporosis="" osteoporosis.="" patients="" patients,="" performed="" results:="" significantly="" spine="" td="" than="" the="" there="" thes<="" underwent="" was="" were="" with="" without="" years,="" younger=""><td>Observational study of 1,321 Korean patients undergoing spine surgery with bone density measured prior to surgery. Prevalence of osteoporosis in patients over 50 years were 14.5% for males and 51.3% for females. No outcome data reported. May not be applicable to non-Korean populations. → Study records high prevalence of osteoporosis in patients over 50 years requiring spine surgery.</td></or=-2.5).>	Observational study of 1,321 Korean patients undergoing spine surgery with bone density measured prior to surgery. Prevalence of osteoporosis in patients over 50 years were 14.5% for males and 51.3% for females. No outcome data reported. May not be applicable to non-Korean populations. → Study records high prevalence of osteoporosis in patients over 50 years requiring spine surgery.

Page 21 of 33 September 2014

62	11/A/4	Liver function (prothrombin, proteins, etc.)	Lin TY., Liao JC., Chen WJ., Chen LH., Niu CC., Fu TS., Lai PL., Tsai TT. Surgical risks and perioperative complications of instrumented lumbar surgery in patients with liver cirrhosis. Biomedical Journal, 2014 Jan-Feb; 37(1): 18-23. PMID: 24667674	2/B	http://www.biomedj.org/article.asp?issn =2319- 4170;year=2014;volume=37;issue=1;spa ge=18;epage=23;aulast=Lin	Background: Patients with liver cirrhosis have high surgical risks due to malnutrition, impaired immunity, coagulopathy, and encephalopathy. However, there is no information in English literature about the results of liver cirrhotic patients who underwent instrumented lumbar surgery. The purpose of this study is to report the perioperative complications, clinical outcomes and determine the surgical risk factors in cirrhotic patients. Methods: We retrospectively reviewed 29 patients with liver cirrhosis who underwent instrumented lumbar surgery between 1997 and 2009. The hepatic functional reserves of the patients were recorded according to the Child-Turcotte-Pugh scoring system. Besides, fourteen other variables and perioperative complications were also collected. To determine the risks, we divided the patients into two groups according to whether or not perioperative complications developed. Results: Of the 29 patients, 22 (76%) belonged to Child class A and 7 (24%) belonged to Child class B. Twelve patients developed one or more complications. Patients with Child class B carried a significantly higher incidence of complications than those with 5 class A group, patients with 6 points had a significantly higher incidence of complications than those with 5 points ($p=0.025$). A low level of albumin was significantly associated with higher risk, and a similar trend was also noted for the presence of ascites although statistical difference was not reached. Conclusion: The study concludes that patients with liver cirrhosis who have undergone instrumented lumbar surgery carry a high risk of developing perioperative complications, especially in those with a Child-Turcotte-Pugh score of 6 or more.	Retrospective cohort study with few patients, including those treated as early as 1997. Uncontrolled for confounding factors other than liver function. Study showed higher risk of complications in patients with cirrhosis (Child-Turcotte-Pugh* score of 6 or more). Supports use of Child-Turcotte-Pugh score for assessing risk for perioperative complications and recommending caution in patients with cirrhosis, particularly w/ score of 6 or more. * C-T-P score is composite of five clinical indicators of liver disease: total bilirubin, serum albumin, PT INR, ascites, and hepatic encephalopathy.
63	II/A/5	Opioids	Washington State Department of Labor and Industries. Guideline for prescribing opioids to treat pain in injured workers. Effective July 1, 2013.	VM Tier-2 Source	-	The Washington State Department of Labor & Industries (L&I, or the department) is officially adopting the Interagency Guideline on Opioid Dosing for Chronic Non-Cancer Pain as developed by the Agency Medical Directors' Group (AMDG Guideline) and revised in June 2010 [1]. The AMDG Guideline represents the best practices and universal precautions necessary to safely and effectively prescribe opioids to treat patients with chronic non-cancer pain. This guideline is a supplement to both the AMDG Guideline and the Department of Health's (DOH) pain management rules, and provides information specific to treating injured workers covered by Washington State workers' compensation [3]. Both the AMDG Guideline and this guideline are intended for use by health care providers, the department, insurers, and utilization review staff. This guideline was developed in 2011-2012 by the Industrial Insurance Medical Advisory Committee (IMAC) and its subcommittee on chronic non-cancer pain. It is based on the best available clinical and scientific evidence from a systematic review of the literature and a consensus of expert opinion. The IMAC's primary goal is to provide standards that ensure the highest quality of care for injured workers in Washington State.	Recommends postoperative use of opioids should be limited to no longer than six weeks. Also provides recommendations for perioperative management of patients on chronic opioid therapy. → L&I guide to use of opioids.
68	II / A /3	Nutritional status; Reduced serum albumin	van Stijn MF, Korkic-Halilovic I, Bakker MS, van der Ploeg T, van Leeuwen PA, Houjijk AP. Preoperative nutrition status and postoperative outcome in elderly general surgery patients: a systematic review. JPEN: Journal of Parenteral & Enteral Nutrition, 2013 Jan; 37(1): 37-43. PMID: 22549764	2/B	http://pen.sagepub.com/content/37/1/3 7.full.pdf+html	BACKGROUND: Poor nutrition status is considered a risk factor for postoperative complications in the adult population. In elderly patients, who often have a poor nutrition status, this relationship has not been substantiated. Thus, the aim of this systematic review was to assess the merit of preoperative nutrition parameters used to predict postoperative outcome in elderly patients undergoing general surgery. METHODS: A systematic literature search of 10 consecutive years, 1998-2008, in PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane databases was performed. Search terms used were nutrition status, preoperative assessment, postoperative outcome, and surgery (hip or general), including their synonyms and MeSH terms. Limits used in the search were human studies, published in English, and age (65 years or older). Articles were screened using inclusion and exclusion criteria. All selected articles were checked on methodology and graded. RESULTS: Of 463 articles found, 15 were included. They showed profound heterogeneity in the parameters used for preoperative nutrition status and postoperative outcome. The only significant preoperative predictors of postoperative outcome in elderly general surgery patients were serum albumin and >= 10% weight loss in the previous 6 months. CONCLUSIONS: This systematic review revealed only 2 preoperative parameters to predict postoperative outcome in elderly general surgery patients: weight loss and serum albumin. Both are open to discussion in their use as a preoperative nutrition parameter. Nonetheless, serum albumin seems a reliable preoperative parameter to identify a patient at risk for nutrition deterioration and related complicated postoperative course.	Focus is pre-operative nutritional state as a risk factor for complications for patients 65 years of age or older. Supports conclusion that reduced serum albumin and weight loss over previous six months predicts postoperative complications for elderly general surgery patients.

Page 22 of 33

69	II/B/1	Shared Decision Making	Chou R, et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. Spine 2009 May 1; 34(10): 1066-77. PMID: 19363457	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00007632-200905010- 00014&D=ovft&PDF=y	and therapies and surgery are available and their use is increasing, but in some cases their utility remains	Well-defined methodology and grading scheme. Recommendation #2: In patients with nonradicular low back pain who do not respond to usual, noninterdisciplinary interventions, it is recommended that clinicians consider intensive interdisciplinary rehabilitation with a cognitive/behavioral emphasis (strong recommendation, high-quality evidence). Recommendation #4: "It is recommended that shared decision-making regarding surgery for nonspecific low back pain include a specific discussion about intensive interdisciplinary rehabilitation as a similarly effective option, the small to moderate average benefit from surgery versus noninterdisciplinary nonsurgical therapy, and the fact that the majority of such patients who undergo surgery do not experience an optimal outcome (defined as minimum or no pain, discontinuation of or occasional pain medication use, and return of high level function)." Recommendation #7: "It is recommended that shared decision-making regarding surgery include a specific discussion about moderate average benefits, which appear to decrease over time in patients who undergo surgery." supports shared decision making → Supports shared decision making.
70	∥/B/1	Shared Decision Making	Arterburn D. Introducing decision aids at Group Health was linked to sharply lower 2/B hip and knee surgery rates and costs. Health Affairs, 2012, Sep; 31(9): 2094-104. PMID: 22949460		Decision aids are evidence-based sources of health information that can help patients make informed treatment decisions. However, little is known about how decision aids affect health care use when they are implemented outside of randomized controlled clinical trials. We conducted an observational study to examine the associations between introducing decision aids for hip and knee osteoarthritis and rates of joint replacement surgery and costs in a large health system in Washington State. Consistent with prior randomized trials, our introduction of decision aids was associated with 26 percent fewer hip replacement surgeries, 38 percent fewer knee replacements, and 12-21 percent lower costs over six months. These findings support the concept that patient decision aids for some health conditions, for which treatment decisions are highly sensitive to both patients' and physicians' preferences, may reduce rates of elective surgery and lower costs.	Cohort is patients considering joint replacement surgery. 3 Supports use of shared decision-making to avoid surgery that the patient with otherwise not choose.
71		Shared Decision Making	Boss EF, Mehta N, Nagarajan N, Links A, Benke JR, Berger Z, Espinel A, Meier J, Lipstein EA. Shared Decision Making and Choice for Elective Surgical Care: A Systematic Review. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2016 Mar;154(3):405-20. PMID: 26645531	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4857133/	OBJECTIVE: Shared decision making (SDM), an integrative patient-provider communication process emphasizing discussion of scientific evidence and patient/family values, may improve quality care delivery, promote evidence-based practice, and reduce overuse of surgical care. Little is known, however, regarding SDM in elective surgical practice. The purpose of this systematic review is to synthesize findings of studies evaluating use and outcomes of SDM in elective surgery. DATA SOURCES: PubMed, Cochrane CENTRAL, EMBASE, CINAHL, and SCOPUS electronic databases. REVIEW METHODS: We searched for English-language studies (January 1, 1990, to August 9, 2015) evaluating use of SDM in elective surgical care where choice for surgery could be ascertained. Identified studies were independently screened by 2 reviewers in stages of title/abstract and full-text review. We abstracted data related to population, study design, clinical dilemma, use of SDM, outcomes, treatment choice, and bias. RESULTS: Of 10,929 identified articles, 24 met inclusion criteria. The most common area studied was spine (7 of 24), followed by joint (5 of 24) and gynecologic surgery (4 of 24). Twenty studies used decision aids or support tools, including modalities that were multimedia/video (13 of 20), written (3 of 20), or personal coaching (4 of 20). Effect of SDM on preference for surgery was mixed across studies, showing a decrease in surgery (9 of 24), no difference (8 of 24), or an increase (1 of 24). SDM tended to improve decision quality (3 of 3) as well as knowledge or preparation (4 of 6) while decreasing decision conflict (4 of 6). CONCLUSION: SDM reduces decision conflict and improves decision quality for patients making choices about elective surgery. While net findings show that SDM may influence patients to choose surgery less often, the impact of SDM on surgical utilization cannot be clearly ascertained.	A systematic review of 24 studies evaluating the effect of shared decision-making on decision conflict and decision quality. 17 out of 20 measured outcomes related to the use of a decision aid without additional personal communication with providers. Deficiencies in methods include lack of attention to confounding variables (e.g. literacy, age, race, ethnicity, socioeconmic status). Authors conclude that "the effect of SDM on preference-sensitive surgery choice is" unclear but appears to improve the healthcare experience of the patient regardless of the decision. —> This area remains one of substantial uncertainty but it appears that SDM improves the patient experience regardless of the choice of therapy.
		Shared Decision Making	Elwyn G(1), Laitner S, Coulter A, Walker E, Watson P, Thomson R. Implementing shared decision making in the NHS. BMJ. 2010 Oct 14;341:c5146. PMID: 20947577	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	No abstract available	Non-research based review article describing implementation of shared decision making in the National Health Service of Great Britain. Includes a Cochrane review addressing decision aids> Includes decision aids, evidence of benefit, and recommendations for implementation

		Shared Decision Making	Stacey D, Légaré F, Lewis K, Barry MJ, Bennett CL, Eden KB, Holmes-Rovner M, Llewellyn-Thomas H, Lyddiatt A, Thomson R, Trevena L. Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017 Apr 12;4:CD001431. PMID: 28402085	VM Tier 1 source	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	BACKGROUND: Decision aids are interventions that support patients by making their decisions explicit, providing information about options and associated benefits/harms, and helping clarify congruence between decisions and personal values.	A meta-analysis of 105 studies and 31,043 participants evaluating the utility of shared decision making. > Supports the use of decision aids in medical and surgical interventions.
			PAPI 12,4-CDV01451. PMIIV: 20402065			OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of decision aids in people facing treatment or screening decisions. SEARCH METHODS: Updated search (2012 to April 2015) in CENTRAL; MEDLINE; Embase; PsycINFO; and grey literature; includes CINAHL to September 2008. SELECTION CRITERIA: We included published randomized controlled trials comparing decision aids to usual care and/or alternative interventions. For this update, we excluded studies comparing detailed versus simple	
						decision aids. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two reviewers independently screened citations for inclusion, extracted data, and assessed risk of bias. Primary outcomes, based on the International Patient Decision Aid Standards (IPDAS), were attributes related to the choice made and the decision-making process. Secondary outcomes were behavioural, health, and health system effects. We pooled results using mean differences (MDS) and risk ratios	
						(RRS), applying a random-effects model. We conducted a subgroup analysis of studies that used the patient decision aid to prepare for the consultation and of those that used it in the consultation. We used GRADE to assess the strength of the evidence. MAIN RESULTS: We included 105 studies involving 31,043 participants. This update added 18 studies and	
						removed 28 previously included studies comparing detailed versus simple decision aids. During the 'Risk of bias' assessment, we rated two items (selective reporting and blinding of participants/personnel) as mostly unclear due to inadequate reporting. Twelve of 105 studies were at high risk of bias. With regard to the attributes of the choice made, decision aids increased participants' knowledge (MD 13.27/100; 95% confidence interval (CI)	
						11.32 to 15.23; 52 studies; N = 13,316; high-quality evidence), accuracy of risk perceptions (RR 2.10; 95% CI 1.66 to 2.66; 17 studies; N = 5096; moderate-quality evidence), and congruency between informed values and care choices (RR 2.06; 95% CI 1.46 to 2.91; 10 studies; N = 4626; low-quality evidence) compared to usual care. Regarding attributes related to the decision-making process and compared to usual care, decision aids decreased decisional conflict related to feeling uninformed (MD -9.28/100; 95% CI -12.20 to -6.36; 27 studies; N = 5707; high-quality evidence), indecision about personal values (MD -8.81/100; 95% CI -11.99 to -5.63; 23	
72	II / B / 2	Care partner		3/C		= 3707, high-quality evidence), indecision about personal values (Nib *0.01/100, 33% CI *11.33 (0 *3.03, 23	Unable to identify relevant citation for use of lay care partner to support patient through preand post-operative care. → Unvalidated usual practice with face value.
73	II/B/3	Advance Directives	Nicholas LH. Langa KM. Iwashyna TJ. Regional variation in the association between advance directives and end-of-life Medicare expenditures. JAMA, 2011 Oct 5; 306(13): 1447-53. PMID: 21972306	2/B	http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.apx?articleid=1104465	CONTEXT: It is unclear if advance directives (living wills) are associated with end-of-life expenditures and treatments. OBJECTIVE: To examine regional variation in the associations between treatment-limiting advance directive use, end-of-life Medicare expenditures, and use of palliative and intensive treatments. DESIGN, SETTING, AND PATIENTS: Prospectively collected survey data from the Health and Retirement Study for 3302 Medicare beneficiaries who died between 1998 and 2007 linked to Medicare claims and the National Death Index. Multivariable regression models examined associations between advance directives, end-of-life Medicare expenditures, and treatments by level of Medicare spending in the decedent's hospital referral region. MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: Medicare expenditures, life-sustaining treatments, hospice care, and inhospital death over the last 6 months of life. RESULTS: Advance directives specifying limits in care were associated with lower spending in hospital referral regions with high average levels of end-of-life expenditures (\$5585 per decedent; 95% CI, -150,903 to -\$267), but there was no difference in spending in hospital referral regions with low or medium levels of end-of-life expenditures. Directives were associated with lower adjusted probabilities of in-hospital death in high- and medium-spending regions (-9.8%; 95% CI, -16% to -3% in high-spending regions; 5-3.%; 95% CI, -10% to -0.4% in medium-spending regions). Advance directives were associated with high-spending regions (17%; 95% CI, 11% to 23% in high-spending regions, 11%; 95% CI, 6% to 16% in medium-spending regions (17%; 95% CI, 11% to 23% in high-spending regions, 11%; 95% CI, 6% to 16% in medium-spending regions (17%; 95% CI, 11% to 23% in high-spending regions (17%; 95% CI, 6% to 16% in medium-spending regions), but not in low-spending regions. CONCLUSION: Advance directives specifying limitations in end-of-life care were associated with significantly lower levels of Medicare spending, lower likelihood of in-hospital death, an	→ Supports the use of advance directives to reduce the use of inappropriate and costly end-
74	II/C/1/a	Fitness for Surgery; Cardiopulmonary Fitness	Fleisher LA, et.al.; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association- Task-Force on Practice Guidelines; American Society of Echocardiography; American Society of Nuclear Cardiology; Heart Rhythm Society; Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists; Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions; Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology; Society for Vascular- Surgery. ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and care for noncardiac surgery: a report Circulation. 2007 Oct 23; 116(17): e418-99. PMID: 17901357** See below for update to this citation	VM Tier-2 Source	http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/11/17/e418.full	Fresents guideline for cardiovascular evaluation for patients that will have non cardiac surgery.	Society guideline. → Guide to preoperative evaluation for non-cardiac surgery.

Page 24 of 33 September 2014

	_					,
75	II/C/1/a	Fitness for Surgery;	Fleisher LA, Fleischmann KE, Auerbach AD, Barnason SA, Beckman JA, Bozkurt B, VM Tier-2 Sour		Abstract not available	High quality society guideline with evidence appraisals
		Cardiopulmonary Fitnes	s Davila-Roman VG, Gerhard-Herman MD, Holly TA, Kane GC, Marine JE, Nelson MT,	/2014/07/31/CIR.0000000000000106		> "The focus of this clinical practice guideline is the perioperative cardiovascular evaluation
			Spencer CC, Thompson A, Ting HH, Uretsky BF, Wijeysundera DN. 2014 ACC/AHA			and management of the adult patient undergoing noncardiac surgery."
			guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients			
			undergoing noncardiac surgery: executive summary: a report of the American			
			College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice			
			guidelines. Developed in collaboration with the American College of Surgeons,			
			American Society of Anesthesiologists, American Society of Echocardiography,			
			American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for			
			Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular			
			Anesthesiologists, and Society of Vascular Medicine Endorsed by the Society of			
			Hospital Medicine. J Nucl Cardiol. 2015 Feb;22(1):162-215. PMID: 25523415			
76	II/C/1/c	Nasal culture;	Bode LGM. Et.al. Preventing surgical-site infections in nasal carriers of 1/B	http://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/	BACKGROUND: Nasal carriers of Staphylococcus aureus are at increased risk for health care-associated	Cohort included a variety of surgical procedures, as well as patients hospitalized for medical
		Chlorhexidine	Staphylococuccus aureus. New England Journal of Medicine, 2010 Jan 7; 362(1): 9-	NEJMoa0808939	infections with this organism. Decolonization of nasal and extranasal sites on hospital admission may reduce	issues.
			17. PMID: 20054045		this risk. METHODS: In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial, we assessed whether	→ Supports treatment of nasal carriers of Staphylococcus aureus to reduce incidence of
					rapid identification of S. aureus nasal carriers by means of a real-time polymerase-chain-reaction (PCR) assay,	surgical site infections.
					followed by treatment with mupirocin nasal ointment and chlorhexidine soap, reduces the risk of hospital-	
					associated S. aureus infection. RESULTS: From October 2005 through June 2007, a total of 6771 patients were	
					screened on admission. A total of 1270 nasal swabs from 1251 patients were positive for S. aureus. We enrolled	
					917 of these patients in the intention-to-treat analysis, of whom 808 (88.1%) underwent a surgical procedure.	
					All the S. aureus strains identified on PCR assay were susceptible to methicillin and mupirocin. The rate of S.	
					aureus infection was 3.4% (17 of 504 patients) in the mupirocin-chlorhexidine group, as compared with 7.7%	
					(32 of 413 patients) in the placebo group (relative risk of infection, 0.42; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.23 to	
					0.75). The effect of mupirocin-chlorhexidine treatment was most pronounced for deep surgical-site infections	
					(relative risk, 0.21; 95% CI, 0.07 to 0.62). There was no significant difference in all-cause in-hospital mortality	
					between the two groups. The time to the onset of nosocomial infection was shorter in the placebo group than	
					in the mupirocin-chlorhexidine group (P=0.005). CONCLUSIONS: The number of surgical-site S. aureus infections	
					acquired in the hospital can be reduced by rapid screening and decolonizing of nasal carriers of S. aureus on	
					admission. (Current Controlled Trials number, ISRCTN56186788.	
					admission. (current controlled mais number, ishe mootsovos.	
77	II/C/1/c	Reducing nasal	Rao N. Cannella BA. Crossett LS. Yates AJ. McGough RL. Hamilton CW. 2/B		Abstract: We quantified surgical site infections (SSIs) after preoperative screening/selective decolonization	Cohort is patients undergoing total joint replacement.
l''	"/ 5/ 1/ 6	colonization; Reducing	Preoperative screening/decolonization for Staphylococcus aureus to prevent		before elective total joint arthroplasty (TJA) with 2-year follow-up and 2 controls. Concurrent controls (n =	→ Supports the use of mupirocin nasal swabs and chlorhexidine bath to reduce surgical site
		skin colonization;	orthopedic surgical site infection: prospective cohort study with 2-year follow-up.		2284) were patients of surgeons not participating in screening/decolonization. Preintervention controls (n =	infections after total joint surgery.
		Chlorhexidine				infections after total joint surgery.
		Chiornexiame	J Arthroplast, 2011 Dec; 26(8): 1501-7. PMID: 21507604		741) were patients of participating surgeons who underwent TJA the previous year. Staphylococcus aureus	
					nasal carriers (321/1285 [25%]) used intranasal mupirocin and chlorhexidine baths as outpatients.	
					Staphylococcal SSIs occurred in no intervention patients (0/321) and 19 concurrent controls. If all SSIs occurred	
					in carriers and 25% of controls were carriers, staphylococcal SSI rate would have been 3.3% in controls (19/571;	
					P = .001). Overall SSI rate decreased from 2.7% (20/741) in reintervention controls to 1.2% (17/1440) in	
					intervention patients (P = .009). Preoperative screening/selective decolonization was associated with fewer SSIs	
					after elective TJA.	
	1					
	1		·			,

Page 25 of 33

79	/C/1/f	Delirium & Adverse Outcomes	Witlox J, Eurelings LS, de Jonghe JF, Kalisvaart KJ, Eikelenboom P, van Gool WA. Delirium in elderly patients and the risk of postdischarge mortality, institutionalization, and dementia: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2010 Jul 28; 304(4): 443- 51. PMID: 20664045	http://jama.jamanetwork.com/data/Jour nals/JAMA/4522/jrv05005 443 451.pdf	CONTEXT: Delirium is a common and serious complication in elderly patients. Evidence suggests that delirium is associated with long-term poor outcome but delirium often occurs in individuals with more severe underlying disease. OBJECTIVE: To assess the association between delirium in elderly patients and long-term poor outcome, defined as mortality, institutionalization, or dementia, while controlling for important confounders. DATA SOURCES: A systematic search of studies published between January 1981 and April 2010 was conducted using the databases of MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO, and CINAHL. STUDY SELECTION: Observational studies of elderly patients with delirium as a study variable and data on mortality, institutionalization, or dementia after a minimum follow-up of 3 months, and published in the English or Dutch language. Titles, abstracts, and articles were reviewed independently by 2 of the authors. Of 2939 references in the original search, 51 relevant articles were identified. DATA EXTRACTION: Information on study design, characteristics of the study population, and outcome were extracted. Quality of studies was assessed based on elements of the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist for cohort studies. DATA SYNTHESIS: The primary analyses included only high-quality studies with statistical control for age, sex, comorbid illness or illness severity, and baseline dementia. Pooled-effect estimates were calculated with random-effects models. The primary analysis with adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) showed that delirium is associated with an increased risk of death compared with controls after an average follow-up of 22.7 months (7 studies; 271/714 patients [38.0%] with delirium, 616/2243 controls [27.5%]; HR, 1.95 [95% confidence interval (CI), 1.51-2.52]; I(2), 44.0%). Moreover, patients who had experienced delirium were also at increased risk of institutionalization (7 studies; average follow-up, 4.1 [95% CI, 1.77-3.29]; I(2), 0%) and dementia (2 studies; avera	Cohort is elderly patients treated in hospital or acute care setting for medical or surgical conditions. → Supports the conclusion that delerium is associated with poor outcomes.
80	II / C / 2 /a	Dental screening	American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Prevention of orthopaedic implant infection in patients undergoing dental procedures. Evidence-based guideline and evidence report. 2012	http://www.aaos.org/research/guideline s/PUDP/PUDP_guideline.pdf		"Recommendation #3: In the absence of reliable evidence linking poor oral health to prosthetic joint infection, it is the opinion of the work group that patients with prosthetic joint implants or other orthopaedic implants maintain appropriate oral hygiene. Consensus" → Supports patients with implants maintaining good oral health.
81	/C/3/a	Patient Reported Outcomes	Cella D, Riley W, Stone A, Rothrock N, Reeve B, Yount S, Amtmann D, Bode R, Buysse D, Choi S, Cook K, Devellis R, DeWalt D, Fries JF, Gershon R, Hahn EA, Lai JS, Pilkonis P, Revicki D, Rose M, Weinfurt K, Hays R; PROMIS Cooperative Group. The Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) developed and tested its first wave of adult self-reported health outcome item banks: 2005-2008. J Clin Epidemiol. 2010 Nov; 63(11): 1179-94. PMID: 20685078	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010 .04.011	OBJECTIVES: Patient-reported outcomes (PROs) are essential when evaluating many new treatments in health care; yet, current measures have been limited by a lack of precision, standardization, and comparability of scores across studies and diseases. The Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) provides item banks that offer the potential for efficient (minimizes item number without compromising reliability), flexible (enables optional use of interchangeable items), and precise (has minimal error in estimate) measurement of commonly studied PROs. We report results from the first large-scale testing of PROMIS items. STUDY DESIGN AND SETTING: Fourteen item pools were tested in the U.S. general population and clinical groups using an online panel and clinic recruitment. A scale-setting subsample was created reflecting demographics proportional to the 2000 U.S. census. RESULTS: Using item-response theory (graded response model), 11 item banks were calibrated on a sample of 21,133, measuring components of self-reported physical, mental, and social health, along with a 10-item Global Health Scale. Short forms from each bank were developed and compared with the overall bank and with other well-validated and widely accepted ("legacy") measures. All item banks demonstrated good reliability across most of the score distributions. Construct validity was supported by moderate to strong correlations with legacy measures. CONCLUSION: PROMIS item banks and their short forms provide evidence that they are reliable and precise measures of generic symptoms and functional reports comparable to legacy instruments. Further testing will continue to validate and test PROMIS items and banks in diverse clinical populations.	Test cohort reflected demographics proportional to US population, not individual subsets of population. → Validates the PROMIS tool to measure patient-related outcomes.
Cycle 3: C	Optimal surgi	ical process	<u> </u>			
	III / A		NICE Guideline. Interventional procedures guidance [IPG574] Lateral interbody fusion in the lumbar spine for low back pain. Published date: February 2017	https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg57 4 Guidance evidence table can be found here: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg57 4/documents/overview-2	"Do not offer spinal fusion for people with low back pain unless as part of a randomised controlled trial." "1.1 Current evidence on the safety of lateral (including extreme, extra and direct lateral) interbody fusion in the lumbar spine for low back pain shows there are serious but well-recognised complications. Evidence on efficacy is adequate in quality and quantity. Therefore, this procedure may be used provided that standard arrangements are in place for clinical governance, consent and audit. 1.2 This procedure should only be done by surgeons with specific training in the technique, who should carry out their initial procedures with an experienced mentor. 1.3 Clinicians should enter details about all patients having lateral interbody fusion in the lumbar spine for low back pain onto the British Spine Registry."	Respected source with robust evidence appraisal. > Presents risks and benefits of lateral interbody fusion for low back pain. Guidance does not recommend spinal fusion for low back pain unless part of an RCT.

Page 26 of 33 September 2014

						T
III/A		al Procedures Guidance [IPGS78] Minimally invasive sacroiliac y for chronic sacroliac pain. Published date: April 2017.	VM Tier 1 Source	https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg57 8/chapter/1-Recommendations	"1.1 Current evidence on the safety and efficacy of minimally invasive sacroiliac (SI) joint fusion surgery for chronic SI pain is adequate to support the use of this procedure provided that standard arrangements are in place for clinical governance, consent and audit. 1.2 Patients having this procedure should have a confirmed diagnosis of unilateral or bilateral SI joint dysfunction due to degenerative sacroiliitis or SI joint disruption. 1.3 This technically challenging procedure should only be done by surgeons who regularly use image-guided surgery for implant placement. The surgeons should also have had specific training and expertise in minimally invasive SI joint fusion surgery for chronic SI pain."	Respected source with robust evidence appraisal. -> Guideline for minimally invasive sacrolilia joint fusion surgery for chronic sacrolliac pain. Is this procedure out of scope?
III / A		al Procedures Guidance (IPG387). Transaxial interbody n. Published date: March 2011		https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg38 Z	"As a person gets older, the discs that provide support between the bones of the spine can deteriorate because of wear and tear. Sometimes this causes such severe pain and disability that surgery is considered. Transaxial interbody lumbosacral fusion is done through a small cut over the bony structure at the base of the spine connected to the pelvis. It involves removing all, or part, of the damaged disc and inserting an artificial implant and bone graft material into the remaining disc space. The aim is to encourage two spine bones to join together to prevent movement of the painful joint."	Is this procedure out of scope?
III / A		al Procedures Guidance [IPG556]. Percutaneous transforaminal r discectomy for sciatica. Published date: April 2016		https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg55 6	"Evidence-based recommendations on percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica in adults. This involves removing part of the damaged spinal disc to relieve the symptoms of sciatica."	Is this procedure out of scope?
III / A		al Procedures Guidance [IPG365]. Interspinous distraction nbar spinal stenosis causing neurogenic claudication. Published 010		https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg36 5	"Lumbar spinal stenosis is a narrowing of the spinal canal in the lower part of the back. This causes discomfort in the legs when standing or walking because of pressure on the spinal nerves. This procedure involves implanting a device into the space between two back bones to relieve pressure on the nerves and, therefore, pain in the legs."	Is this procedure out of scope?
III/A		al Procedures Guidance [IPG306]. Prosthetic intervertebral disc e lumbar spine. Published date: July 2009		https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg30 6	"Artificial intervertebral discs have been developed to act as a functional prosthetic replacement unit for intervertebral units in much the same way as prostheses have been developed for a variety of joints such as the hip or knee. The design of most prosthetic discs is similar, with two metallic endplates separated by a more pliable inner core designed to emulate the biomechanical properties of the nucleus pulposus. The implantation of the prosthetic discs involves a small incision below the umbilitus. The diseased disc is partially or fully excised (depending on the prosthesis used). The vertebral endplates and surrounding spinal ligaments are preserved and help maintain implant stability. Single discs can be replaced, or alternatively, several levels can be replaced during the same surgery."	Is this procedure out of scope?
III/A	MW, Rzewuska M,	eira PH, Yoo RI, Harris IA, Pinheiro MB, Koes BW, van Tulder , Maher CG, Ferreira ML. Surgical options for lumbar spinal e Database Syst Rev. 2016 Nov 1;11:CD012421. PMID: 27801521	2/B	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.10 02/14651858.CD012421/full	BACKGROUND: Hospital charges for lumbar spinal stenosis have increased significantly worldwide in recent times, with great variation in the costs and rates of different surgical procedures. There have also been significant increases in the rate of complex fusion and the use of spinal spacer implants compared to that of traditional decompression surgery, even though the former is known to incur costs up to three times higher. Moreover, the superiority of these new surgical procedures over traditional decompression surgery is still unclear. OBJECTIVES: To determine the efficacy of surgery in the management of patients with symptomatic lumbar spinal stenosis and the comparative effectiveness between commonly performed surgical techniques to treat this condition on patient-related outcomes. We also aimed to investigate the safety of these surgical interventions by including perioperative surgical data and reoperation rates. SEARCH METHODS: Review authors performed electronic searches of the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, AMED, Web of Science, LILACS and three trials registries from their inception to 16 June 2016. Authors also conducted citation tracking on the reference lists of included trials and relevant systematic reviews. SELECTION CRITERIA: This review included only randomised controlled trials that investigated the efficacy and safety of surgery compared with no treatment, placebo or sham surgery, or with another surgical technique in patients with lumbar spinal stenosis. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two reviewers independently assessed the studies for inclusion and performed the "Risk of bias' assessment, using the Cochrane Back and Neck Review Group criteria. Reviewers also extracted demographics, surgery details, and types of outcomes to describe the characteristics of included studies. Primary outcomes were pain intensity, physical function or disability status, quality of life, and recovery. The secondary outcomes included measurements related to sur	A meta-analysis of 24 randomized controlled trials to determine the efficacy of surgery in patients with symptomatic lumbar spinal stenosis and the comparative effectiveness surgical techniques. No trials compared surgery to nonsurgical care and all trials were judged to be subject to bias. > "Our results demonstrate that at present, decompression plus fusion and interspinous process spacers have not been shown to be superior to conventional decompression alone."

Page 27 of 33

58	III / A		Sethi RK, Pong RP, Leveque JC, Dean TC, Olivar SJ, Rupp SM. The Seattle Spine Team approach to adult deformity surgery: a systems-based approach to perioperative care and subscequent reduction in perioperative complication rates. Spine Deformity, 2014 March; 2(2): 95-103.		Abstract Study Design: Retrospective consecutive case review pre- and postintervention. Objectives: Characterize the effects of the intervention. Summary of Background Data: Complication rates in adult spinal deformity surgery are unacceptable. System approaches are necessary to increase patient safety. This group reported on the dualeattending surgeon approach, a live multidisciplinary preoperative screening conference, and the intraoperative protocol for the management of coagulopathy. The outcomes were demonstrated by complication rates before and after the institution of this protocol. Methods: Forty consecutive patients in Group A were managed without the 3-pronged approach. A total of 124 consecutive patients in Group A were managed according to the intraoperative protocol. Results: Group A had an average age of 62 years (range, 39e84 years). Group B had an average age of 64 years (range, 18e84 years). Most patients in both groups had fusions from 9 to 15 levels. Complication rates in Group B were significantly lower (16% vs. 52%) (pl.001). Group B showed significantly lower return rates to the operating room during the perioperative 90-day period (0.8% vs. 12.5%) (p ! .001). Group B also had lower rates of wound infection requiring debridement (1.6% vs. 7.5%), lower rates of deep vein thrombosis/ pulmonary embolism (3.2% vs. 10%), and lower rates of postoperative neurological complications (0.5% vs. 2.5%) (not significant). Group B had significantly lower rates of urinary tract infection requiring antibiotics (9.7% vs. 32.5%) (p l .001). Conclusions: These data suggests that a team approach consisting of a dualeattending surgeon approach in the operating room, a live preoperative screening conference, and an intraoperative protocol for managing coagulopathy will significantly reduce perioperative complication rates and enhance patient safety in patients undergoing complex spinal reconstructions for adult spinal deformity 2014 Scoliosis Research Society	
59	III/A/1	Surgical team	Martin BI, Mirza SK, Franklin GM, Lurie JD, MacKenzie TA, Deyo RA. Hospital and surgeon variation in complications and repeat surgery following incident lumbar fusion for common degenerative diagnoses. Health Serv Res. 2013 Feb; 48(1): 1-25. PMID: 22716168	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3465627/pdf/nihms379467.pdf	Abstract: OBJECTIVE: To identify factors that account for variation in complication rates across hospitals and surgeons performing lumbar spinal fusion surgery. DATA SOURCES: Discharge registry including all nonfederal hospitals in Washington State from 2004 to 2007. STUDY DESIGN: We identified adults (n = 6,091) undergoing an initial inpatient lumbar fusion for degenerative conditions. We identified whether each patient had a subsequent complication within 90 days. Logistic regression models with hospital and surgeon random effects were used to examine complications, controlling for patient characteristics and comorbidity. PRINCIPAL FINDINGS: Complications within 90 days of a fusion occurred in 4.8 percent of patients, and 2.2 percent had a reoperation. Hospital effects accounted for 8.8 percent of the total variability, and surgeon effects account for 14.4 percent. Surgeon factors account for 54.5 percent of the variation in hospital reoperation rates, and 47.2 percent of the variation in hospital complication rates. The discretionary use of operative features, such as the inclusion of bone morphogenetic proteins, accounted for 30 and 50 percent of the variation in surgeons' reoperation and complication rates, respectively. CONCLUSIONS: To improve the safety of lumbar spinal fusion surgery, quality improvement efforts that focus on surgeons' discretionary use of operative techniques may be more effective than those that target hospitals.	Level 1 prognosis study — large, representative population, objective and reasonable definitions of exposure and outcome, excellent f/u. Cohort study of patients undergoing lumbar fusion that measures complication rates related to hospital—or surgeon-factors. Hospital effects accounted for 8.8% of the total variability, and surgeon effects account for 14.4%. Surgeon-factors account for 54.5% of the variation in hospital reoperation rates, and 47.2% of the variation in hospital complication rates. → Suggests that QI effort should be targeted at the indvidual surgeon level (rather than hospital level) to reduce complication rate.
60	III / A / 4	Time of surgery start	Kelz RR, Freeman KM, Hosokawa PW, Asch DA, Spitz FR, Moskowitz M, Henderson WG, Mitchell ME, Itani KM. Time of day is associated with postoperative morbidity: an analysis of the national surgical quality improvement program data. Ann Surg. 2008 Mar; 247(3): 544-52. PMID: 18376202		OBJECTIVE: To examine the association between surgical start time and morbidity and mortality for nonemergent procedures. SUMMARY BACKGROUND DATA: Patients require medical services 24 hours a day. Several studies have demonstrated a difference in outcomes over the course of the day for anesthetic adverse events, death in the ICU, and dialysis care. The relationship between operation start time and patient outcomes is yet undefined. METHODS: We performed a retrospective cohort study of 144,740 nonemergent general and vascular surgical procedures performed within the VA Medical System 2000-2004 and entered into the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Database. Operation start time was the independent variable of interest. Logistic regression was used to adjust for patient and procedural characteristics and to determine the association between start time and, in 2 independent models, mortality and morbidity. RESULTS: Unadjusted later start time was significantly associated with higher surgical morbidity and mortality. After adjustment for patient and procedure characteristics, mortality was not significantly associated with start time. However, after appropriate adjustment, operations starting between 4 pm and 6 pm were associated with an elevated risk of morbidity (OR = 1.25, P < or = 0.005) over those starting between 7 am and 4 pm as were operations starting between 6 pm and 11 pm (OR = 1.60, P < or = 0.005). CONCLUSIONS: When considering a nonemergent procedure, surgeons must bear in mind that cases that start after routine "business" hours within the VA System may face an elevated risk of complications that warrants further evaluation.	·
61	III/A/6	Industry reps in OR	American College of Surgeons. ST-33: Statement on health care industry representatives in the operating room. Reviesed September 2005.	http://www.facs.org/fellows_info/state ments/st-33.html		→ Professional society statement on managing presentce of industry representatives in the operating room.

Page 28 of 33

		1			1	·	
	III/A/7	Overlapping surgeries	Ravi B, Pincus D, Wasserstein D, Govindarajan A, Huang A, Austin PC, Jenkinson R, Henry PDG, Paterson JM, Kreder HJ. Association of Overlapping Surgery With Increased Risk for Complications Following Hip Surgery: A Population-Based, Matched Cohort Study. JAMA Intern Med. 2017 Dec 4. [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 29204597	2/B	Not available without a subscription. Please contact your local Library to obtain a copy of this article.	surgical treatment for hip fracture and arthritis. Design, Setting, and Participants: This was a retrospective population-based cohort study in Ontario, Canada (population, 13.6 million), for the years 2009 to 2014. There	Retrospective cohort study from Ontario comparing rate of infection, revision and dislocation in patients within one year following surgery for either hip fracture or total hip arthroplasty who had either overlapping or non-overlapping surgery. Spine surgery not included in this study. Rate of overlapping surgery was relatively low. Data indicated that patients with overlapping surgery had a higher rate of complications. -> Supports the conclusion that overlapping surgery increases the risk of postoperative complications
62		Multimodal anesthesia; Minimize opioids	Loftus RW(1), Yeager MP, Clark JA, Brown JR, Abdu WA, Sengupta DK, Beach ML. Intraoperative ketamine reduces perioperative opiate consumption in opiate-dependent patients with chronic back pain undergoing back surgery. Anesthesiology. 2010 Sep;113(3):639-46. PMID: 20693876	2/B		the reduction of acute postoperative pain and analgesic consumption in a variety of surgical interventions with variable routes of administration. Little is known regarding its efficacy in opiate-dependent patients with a	101 out of 165 eligible patients were randomized which might limit external validity. Otherwise, appears to be a very high quality study. Would feel more confident if findings were replicated in another study. Hard to draw firm saftely conclusions from study of this size.Cohort is opioid-dependent patients receiving average of 1.6-2.0 level lumbar fusions. → Offers an option to reduce postoperative opioid consumption in opioid-dependent patients.
63	III/B/1/a, b	Multimodal anesthesia	Elia N(1), Lysakowski C, Tramèr MR. Does multimodal analgesia with acetaminophen, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, or selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors and patient-controlled analgesia morphine offer advantages over morphine alone? Meta-analyses of randomized trials. Anesthesiology. 2005 Dec;103(6):1296-304. PMID: 16306743	2/B	http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=J S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00000542-200512000- 00025&LSLINK=80&D=ovft	nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, or selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors given in conjunction with morphine after surgery. The median of the average 24-h morphine consumption in controls was 49 mg (range,	Acetaminophen, NSAIDs, and /or COX-2 inhibitors all reduce morphine need after surgery. NSAIDs in combination with morphine reduce nausea/vomiting and sedation but increase the risk for severe bleeding. COX-2 inhibitors increase risk for renal failure in cardiac patients. Supports use of multimodal analgesia to reduce opiate need.

64	III / B / 2	Urinary catheter < 48 hours	Technical specifications for ACE Demonstration Quality Monitoring Program. Measures 1-4: Surgical Care Improvement Project measures. CMS, [revised] 2011.	tration- Projects/DemoProjectsEvalRpts/downloa ds/ACEQualityMeasures.pdf 10-01-20 are used by PQRI a major su	ction: The CMS Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP) measures are a subset of National Quality I Measures created through the joint efforts of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid and the Joint Ission (Specifications Manual for National Hospital Quality Measures Version 2.5 effective for discharges 008 through 03-31-2009). The SCIP measures have been endorsed by the National Quality Forum, and d by Hospital Compare, the Premier demonstration, and RHQDAPU. Corresponding measures are used at the individual physician level. The NQF endorsed measures are calculated across a defined list of urgical procedures and separately for the MS-DRG ACE demonstration surgical procedure groups of Cardiac Valves, and Hip and Knee Replacement.	→ CMS standard for measures to prevent infection and venous thromboembolism for surgical patients.
65	III/B/2/a	Chlorhexidine; Reducing skin colonization; Reducing nasal colonization	Rao N. Cannella BA. Crossett LS. Yates AJ. McGough RL. Hamilton CW. Preoperative screening/decolonization for Staphylococcus aureus to prevent orthopedic surgical site infection: prospective cohort study with 2-year follow-up. J Arthroplast, 2011 Dec; 26(8): 1501-7. PMID: 21507604	before el 2284) we 741) wer nasal car Staphylo in carrier P = 001) intervent	elective total joint arthroplasty (TJA) with 2-year follow-up and 2 controls. Concurrent controls (n =	Cohort is arthroplasty patients. → Supports the use of mupirocin nasal swabs and chlorhexidine bath to reduce surgical site infections after total joint surgery.
66	III/B/2/b	Perioperative antibiotics; anticoagulation	Technical specifications for ACE Demonstration Quality Monitoring Program. Measures 1-4: Surgical Care Improvement Project measures. CMS, [revised] 2011.	tration- Projects/DemoProjectsEvalRpts/downloa ds/ACEQualityMeasures.pdf 10-01-20 are used by PQRI a major sur		→ CMS standard for measures to prevent infection and venous thromboembolism for surgical patients.
67	III/B/3	Tranexamic acid to reduce bleeding	Yang B(1), Li H, Wang D, He X, Zhang C, Yang P. Systematic review and meta- analysis of perioperative intravenous tranexamic acid use in spinal surgery. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e55436. PMID: 23424632	es/PMC3570541/ antifibrin surgery; (RCTs) ai adolesce guideline Addition RESULTS: decrease 222.73 m 177.83 tc 217.46) (was lowe 0.65; 959 confirme containin surgery g required	is poorly documented. METHODOLOGY: We conducted a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials	data. Are blood loss and transfusion needs intermediate or patient-oriented outcomes?

68	III/B/3	Tranexamic acid to reduce bleeding	Wong J(1), El Beheiry H, Rampersaud YR, Lewis S, Ahn H, De Silva Y, Abrishami A, Baig N, McBroom RJ, Chung F. Tranexamic Acid reduces perioperative blood loss in adult patients having spinal fusion surgery. Anesth Analg. 2008 Nov;107(5):1479 86. PMID: 18931202		BACKGROUND: Spinal reconstructive surgery in adults can be associated with significant blood loss, often requiring allogeneic blood transfusion. The objective of this randomized, prospective, double-blind, multicenter study was to evaluate the efficacy of tranexamic acid (TXA) in reducing perioperative blood loss and transfusion in adult patients having elective posterior thoracic/lumbar instrumented spinal fusion surgery. METHODS: One hundred fifty-one adult patients were randomized to receive either a bolus of 10 mg/kg IV of TXA after induction followed by a maintenance infusion of 1 mg/kg/hr of TXA, or an equivalent volume of placebo (normal saline). The primary outcome was the total perioperative estimated and calculated blood loss intraoperatively and 24 h postoperatively. Secondary outcomes were incidence of allogeneic blood exposure, and duration of hospital stay. RESULTS: Four patients were withdrawn for identifiable surgical bleeding, therefore 147 patients were included in the analysis. The total estimated and calculated perioperative blood loss was approximately 25% and 30% lower in patients given TXA versus placebo (1592 +/- 1315 mL vs 2138 +/-1607 mL, P = 0.026; 3079 +/- 2558 vs 4363 +/-3030, P = 0.017), respectively. There was no difference in the amounts of blood products transfused, and length of stay between the two groups. TXA, surgical duration, and number of vertebrae fused were independent factors related to perioperative blood loss. Predictors for the need for allogeneic red blood cell transfusion were ASA classification, surgical duration and number of levels fused. CONCLUSIONS: TXA significantly reduced the estimated and calculated total amount of perioperative blood loss in adult patients having elective posterior thoracic/lumbar instrumented spinal fusion surgery.	safety data (see citation #60).
69	III / B / 3	Tranexamic acid to reduce bleeding	Henry DA(1), Carless PA, Moxey AJ, O'Connell D, Stokes BJ, Fergusson DA, Ker K. Anti-fibrinolytic use for minimising perioperative allogeneic blood transfusion. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011 Mar 16;(3):CD001886.	S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN= 00075320-100000000- 01425&LSLINK=450&D=coch	BACKGROUND: Concerns regarding the safety of transfused blood have led to the development of a range of interventions to minimise blood loss during major surgery. Anti-fibrinolytic drugs are widely used, particularly in cardiac surgery, and previous reviews have found them to be effective in reducing blood loss, the need for transfusion, and the need for re-operation due to continued or recurrent bleeding. In the last few years questions have been raised regarding the comparative performance of the drugs. The safety of the most popular agent, aprotinin, has been challenged, and it was withdrawn from world markets in May 2008 because of concerns that it increased the risk of cardiovascular complications and death. OBJECTIVES: To assess the comparative effects of the anti-fibrinolytic drugs aprotinin, tranexamic acid (TXA), and epsilon aminocaproic acid (EACA) on blood loss during surgery, the need for red blood cell (RBC) transfusion, and adverse events, particularly vascular occlusion, renal dysfunction, and death. SEARCH STRATEGY: We searched: the Cochrane Injuries Group's Specialised Register (July 2010), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (The Cochrane Library 2010, Issue 3), MEDLINE (Ovid SP) 1950 to July 2010, EMBASE (Ovid SP) 1980 to July 2010. References in identified trials and review articles were checked and trial authors were contacted to identify any additional studies. The searches were last updated in July 2010. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of anti-fibrinolytic drugs in adults scheduled for non-urgent surgery. Eligible trials compared anti-fibrinolytic drugs with placebo (or no treatment), or with each other. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two authors independently assessed trial quality and extracted data. This version of the review includes a sensitivity analysis excluding trials authored by Prof. Joachim Boldt. MAIN RESULTS: This review summarises data from 252 RCTs that recruited over 25,000 participants. Data from the head-to-head trials suggest an advant	Cohort is adults with non-emergent surgery. → Study evaluates benefits and risks of different drugs to reduce surgical blood loss.

Page 31 of 33

——————————————————————————————————————	/B/4	Anticoagulation	Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF, Heit JA, Samama CM, Lassen MR, Colwell CW; VM Tier-2 Source	http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/	This article discusses the prevention of venous thromboembolism (VTE) and is part of the Antithrombotic and	Specialty society guideline.
[""	/ 5 / 4	Anticoaguiation	American College of Chest Physicians. Prevention of venous thromboembolism:	article.aspx?articleid=1085923	Thrombolytic Therapy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th	→ Recommends anticoagulant therapy for elective surgical patients with emphasis on
			American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines	article.aspx: articleid=1085323	Edition). Grade 1 recommendations are strong and indicate that the benefits do or do not outweigh risks,	patients undergoing joint surgery.
			(8th Edition). Chest. 2008 Jun; 133(6 Suppl): 381S-453S. PMID: 18574271		burden, and costs. Grade 2 suggestions imply that individual patient values may lead to different choices (for a	patients under going joint surger j.
			(Gar Editor)). Chest. 2000 Str.), 155(0 Str.), 1553. Time: 1657 1271		full discussion of the grading, see the "Grades of Recommendation" chapter by Guyatt et al). Among the key	
					recommendations in this chapter are the following: we recommend that every hospital develop a formal	
					strategy that addresses the prevention of VTE (Grade 1A). We recommend against the use of aspirin alone as	
					thromboprophylaxis for any patient group (Grade 1A), and we recommend that mechanical methods of	
					thromboprophylaxis be used primarily for patients at high bleeding risk (Grade 1A) or possibly as an adjunct to	
					anticoagulant thromboprophylaxis (Grade 2A). For patients undergoing major general surgery, we recommend	
					thromboprophylaxis with a low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH), low-dose unfractionated heparin (LDUH), or	
					fondaparinux (each Grade 1A). We recommend routine thromboprophylaxis for all patients undergoing major	
					gynecologic surgery or major, open urologic procedures (Grade 1A for both groups), with LMWH, LDUH,	
					fondaparinux, or intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC). For patients undergoing elective hip or knee	
					arthroplasty, we recommend one of the following three anticoagulant agents: LMWH, fondaparinux, or a	
					vitamin K antagonist (VKA); international normalized ratio (INR) target, 2.5; range, 2.0 to 3.0 (each Grade 1A).	
					For patients undergoing hip fracture surgery (HFS), we recommend the routine use of fondaparinux (Grade 1A), LMWH (Grade 1B), a VKA (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0 to 3.0) [Grade 1B], or LDUH (Grade 1B). We recommend	
					that patients undergoing hip or knee arthroplasty or HFS receive thromboprophylaxis for a minimum of 10 days	
					(Grade 1A); for hip arthroplasty and HFS, we recommend continuing thromboprophylaxis 101 a minimum of 10 days	
					days (Grade 1A). We recommend that all major trauma and all spinal cord injury (SCI) patients receive	
					thromboprophylaxis (Grade 1A). In patients admitted to hospital with an acute medical illness, we recommend	
					thromboprophylaxis with LMWH, LDUH, or fondaparinux (each Grade 1A). We recommend that, on admission	
					to the ICU, all patients be assessed for their risk of VTE, and that most receive thromboprophylaxis (Grade 1A).	
III	/B/5	Glycemic Control	Frisch A, Chandra P, Smiley D, Peng L, Rizzo M, Gatcliffe C, Hudson M, Mendoza J, 2/B	http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content	OBJECTIVE: Hospital hyperglycemia, in individuals with and without diabetes, has been identified as a marker of	→ Supports the conclusion that peri-operative hyperglycemia is associated with post-
		,	Johnson R, Lin E, Umpierrez GE. Prevalence and clinical outcome of hyperglycemia		poor clinical outcome in cardiac surgery patients. However, the impact of perioperative hyperglycemia on	operative complications.
			in the perioperative period in noncardiac surgery. Diabetes Care. 2010 Aug; 33(8):	1155-4c55-ae25-d952b8775d86	clinical outcome in general and noncardiac surgery patients is not known. RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS:	
			1783-8. PMID: 20435798		This was an observational study with the aim of determining the relationship between pre- and postsurgery	
					blood glucose levels and hospital length of stay (LOS), complications, and mortality in 3,184 noncardiac surgery	
					patients consecutively admitted to Emory University Hospital (Atlanta, GA) between 1 January 2007 and 30 June	
					2007. RESULTS: The overall 30-day mortality was 2.3%, with nonsurvivors having significantly higher blood	
					glucose levels before and after surgery (both P < 0.01) than survivors. Perioperative hyperglycemia was	
					associated with increased hospital and intensive care unit LOS (P < 0.001) as well as higher numbers of	
					postoperative cases of pneumonia (P < 0.001), systemic blood infection (P < 0.001), urinary tract infection (P <	
					0.001), acute renal failure (P = 0.005), and acute myocardial infarction (P = 0.005). In multivariate analysis	
					(adjusted for age, sex, race, and surgery severity), the risk of death increased in proportion to perioperative	
					glucose levels; however, this association was significant only for patients without a history of diabetes (P =	
					0.008) compared with patients with known diabetes (P = 0.748). CONCLUSIONS: Perioperative hyperglycemia is associated with increased LOS, hospital complications, and mortality after noncardiac general surgery.	
					Randomized controlled trials are needed to determine whether perioperative diabetes management improves	
					clinical outcome in noncardiac surgery patients.	
					annual outcome in noncaratae sargery patients.	
	/B/6	BMP in surgery	Health Technology Clinical Committee, Washington State Health care Authority. VM Tier-1 Source	http://www.hca.wa.gov/hta/Documents	Based on the deliberations of key health outcomes, the committee decided that it had the most complete	Washington State's Health Technology Assessment is a respected source supported by hig
Ш,			Bone morphogenic proteins for use in lumbar fusion. Final adoption: May 18,	/findings decision bmp.pdf	information: a comprehensive and current evidence report, public comments, and agency and state utilization	quality evidence appraisal.
III				1	information. The committee concluded that the current evidence on Bone Morphogenetic Protein-2 (BMP-2)	→ HTAP reimbursement recommendations on Bone Morphogenic Protein.
III			2012. HTA: 20120316B.	1		
III			2012. HTA: 20120316B.		demonstrates that there is sufficient evidence to cover with conditions. The committee concluded that the	
III			2012. HTA: 20120316B.		demonstrates that there is sufficient evidence to cover with conditions. The committee concluded that the current evidence on Bone Morphogenetic Protein-7 (BMP-7) is insufficient evidence to cover. The committee	
III			2012. HTA: 20120316B.		current evidence on Bone Morphogenetic Protein-7 (BMP-7) is insufficient evidence to cover. The committee considered all the evidence and gave greatest weight to the evidence it determined, based on objective factors,	
III			2012. HTA: 20120316B.		current evidence on Bone Morphogenetic Protein-7 (BMP-7) is insufficient evidence to cover. The committee considered all the evidence and gave greatest weight to the evidence it determined, based on objective factors, to be the most valid and reliable. Based on these findings, the committee voted to cover with conditions BMP-2	
III			2012. HTA: 20120316B.		current evidence on Bone Morphogenetic Protein-7 (BMP-7) is insufficient evidence to cover. The committee considered all the evidence and gave greatest weight to the evidence it determined, based on objective factors,	
III			2012. HTA: 20120316B.		current evidence on Bone Morphogenetic Protein-7 (BMP-7) is insufficient evidence to cover. The committee considered all the evidence and gave greatest weight to the evidence it determined, based on objective factors, to be the most valid and reliable. Based on these findings, the committee voted to cover with conditions BMP-2	

Page 32 of 33

73	IV/A/1	Early mobilization	Ferrel J. Obstacles to early mobilization after spinal fusion and effect on hospital length of stay. Spine Journal, 2013; 13(9): suppl, 168S.	2/B		BACKGROUND CONTEXT: Recovery after spinal fusion continues to be refined through better multidisciplinary care. Various recovery protocols exist, all which incorporate and emphasize early and immediate postoperative mobilization. Mobilizing patients on the day of surgery is thought to improve functional recovery of range of motion and reduce hospital length of stay (LOS). METHODS: All patients undergoing elective primary or revision spinal fusion between August 2010 and June 2011 within a four-hospital health system were retrospectively reviewed. Patients evaluated by physical therapy (PT) the day of surgery were included in the study analysis. Ambulation was attempted the day of surgery with PT, with or without the use of assistive devices. If a distance of at least 30 feet was not reached, a questionnaire indicating the reason(s) was completed. Distance ambulated on the day of surgery, obstacles impeding ambulating 30 feet, and LOS were recorded. Patients reaching the in-patient unit after 1500 hours were excluded. RESULTS: Seventy percent of patients (320/457) successfully ambulated at least 30 feet on the date of surgery. Forty-seven patients were not evaluated secondary to personnel related factors. A total of 85 patients ambulated under 30 feet, citing most commonly: orthostasis/hypotension 29.4 % (25/85), drowsiness 51.9% (22/85), nausea (23.5%), pain (17.6%), drowsiness (15%), fatigue (8.2%), and pain (10%), as limiting reasons. The average LOS of patients ambulating at least 30 feet the day of surgery was 1.85 days versus 2.79 days in those ambulating less (p<0.05). CONCLUSIONS: The benefits of early postoperative mobilization are well recognized and this study highlights major obstacles limiting early ambulation after spinal fusion. Focusing continued multidisciplinary efforts towards such factors as postoperative hypotension, nausea, drowsiness, and pain after elective spinal fusion may further improve our development of rapid recovery programs. Furthermore, ambulating a distance of at least 30 feet	→ Abstract suggests early ambulation is associated with reduced length of stay.
74	IV / B	Discharge Process	Wagner C, Zabari M. Reducing readmissions: care transitions toolkit. Washington State Hospital Association, 2013	3/C	https://www.wsha.org/images/activEdit /1.18.13 FINAL CT Toolkit Web.pdf	"Washington State Care Transitions" is a state-wide initiative to foster safe, timely, effective, and coordinated care as patients move between settings. The six strategies are as follows: consistent plan of care with primary care provider and home health care (if applicable) upon arrival and discharge from the hospital; coordinated follow up call or visit at discharge; timely visit to primary care provider; reconciliation of medications soon after transition; patient education coordinated between settings; and support through increased care management for high-risk patients.	Washington State standard with numerous stakeholders contributing to document. → A consensus document that proposes a community standard for hospital discharge process.
75	IV/B	Discharge Process	Jack BW, Chetty VK, Anthony D, Greenwald JL, Sanchez GM, Johnson AE, Forsythe SR, O'Donnell JK, Paasche-Orlow MK, Manasseh C, Martin S, Culpepper L. A reengineered hospital discharge program to decrease rehospitalization: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2009 Feb 3; 150(3): 178-87. PMID: 19189907	2/B	http://annals.org/article.aspx?articleid= 744252	BACKGROUND: Emergency department visits and rehospitalization are common after hospital discharge. OBIECTIVE: To test the effects of an intervention designed to minimize hospital utilization after discharge. DESIGN: Randomized trial using block randomization of 6 and 8. Randomly arranged index cards were placed in opaque envelopes labeled consecutively with study numbers, and participants were assigned a study group by revealing the index card. SETTING: General medical service at an urban, academic, safety-net hospital. PATIENTS: 749 English-speaking hospitalized adults (mean age, 49.9 years). INTERVENTION: A nurse discharge advocate worked with patients during their hospital stay to arrange follow-up appointments, confirm medication reconciliation, and conduct patient education with an individualized instruction booklet that was sent to their primary care provider. A clinical pharmacist called patients 2 to 4 days after discharge to reinforce the discharge plan and review medications. Participants and providers were not blinded to treatment assignment. MEASUREMENTS: Primary outcomes were emergency department visits and hospitalizations within 30 days of discharge. Secondary outcomes were self-reported preparedness for discharge and frequency of primary care providers' follow-up within 30 days of discharge. Research staff doing follow-up were blinded to study group assignment. RESULTS: Participants in the intervention group (n = 370) had a lower rate of hospital utilization than those receiving usual care (n = 368) (0.314 vs. 0.451 visit per person per monthy, incidence rate ratio, 0.695 [95% CI, 0.515 to 0.937]; P = 0.009). The intervention was most effective among participants with hospital utilization in the 6 months before index admission (P = 0.014). Adverse events were not assessed; these data were collected but are still being analyzed. LIMITATION: This was a single-center study in which not all potentially eligible patients could be enrolled, and outcome assessment sometimes relied on participant rep	
76	IV/C/1	Post-operative care / Rehab	McGregor AH, Probyn K, Cro S, Doré CJ, Burton AK, Balagué F, Pincus T, Fairbank J. Rehabilitation following surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2013 Dec 9, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD009644. PMID: 24323844	2/B	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.10 02/14651858.CD009644.pub2/abstract	We found that specially designed exercise programmes for people who have had back decompression surgery can help to reduce back pain and can improve their ability to carry out everyday tasks. This was true both in the short term (within six months of surgery) and over the long term (at 12 months). Because only three studies were suitable to be included, we cannot be certain that future studies will not change these conclusions.	Respected source. 3 Very limited evidence concerning benefit of exercise programs following back decompression surgery.

Page 33 of 33