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WELCOME AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Shawn West, MD, FAAFP (Chair), Chief Medical Officer, Embright welcomed members to the workgroup and those present introduced themselves.

Motion: Adopt March minutes
Outcome: Minutes adopted unanimously

HB 1196: AUDIO-ONLY TELEHEALTH

Ginny Weir, MPH, Director, Bree Collaborative, reviewed the progress of House Bill 1196 that is scheduled for a hearing today at 9am in Senate Ways and Means.

- Amendment about established relationship as a requirement prior to providing audio-only care.
- Not a good code that exists for billing.

TELEHEALTH GUIDELINE FRAMEWORK

Dr. West discussed the process of developing community standards in an area that is different than our typical topic-focused recommendations including:

- Telehealth is a tool or set of tools not a specialty. Needs to be used appropriately and watch for overutilization and fragmentation of care especially cutting ties that exist between a person and a provider.
- Promise of improved access, convenience, better for employers, equity in some circumstances, potentially reduce cost.
- Multilateral consent process. We want everyone to understand mutual perspectives.
The workgroup discussed:

- Evidence-free zone that is rapidly evolving.
- Have seen bad outcomes in which patient factors would have been caught if the visit would have been in person. Would be better to reimburse for primary care in a value-based methodology. California is thinking of audio-only for three years in-line with getting broadband everywhere.
- Patients who are non-English speaking who are not using telehealth and those who are using telehealth going to the ER more.
- Guidelines will be iterative and may need to be revisited annually.
- Likely have to be golden rules to make this easier.
  - Meets patient’s needs.
  - Enhances care including coordination.
- Fraud – much fraud within in-person as well. Not unique to telehealth. Need to be aware and create safeguards. Good to understand what types of fraud are happening.
  - WA part of five-state Western Collaborative (CA, NV, WA, OR,
  - NV and CA are noticing a lot of inequity in who gets phone vs video.
  - Most of the investigations are active and can’t say.
  - Guardrails would be helpful as no one wins when Medicaid takes back money. Documentation is paramount.
- Equity. Oregon is the most advanced and has a multi-stakeholder group.

**DETERMINING APPROPRIATENESS**

Ginny Weir reviewed the flowchart of how to determine if a service is appropriate.

- Whether the provider needs to obtain an objective medical finding.
- Whether to weigh into needing an established relationship prior to offering phone only. Need to see and understand bio-psycho-social aspects of a person.
- Specialty care especially in rural areas the distance can be difficult. Especially whether the person has already had an evaluation by a specialist.
  - Need to think about efficiency like enhanced e-visit.
- Episodic urgent care companies. Would a physical exam change the evaluation of the patient.
  - Episodic low acuity vs chronic
  - Can’t be sole way to provide care.
  - Guidelines for suggestions on capturing blood pressure. Wt, Height, immunizations, mental health
- Physical exam should be in person.
- Best practices for the virtual-only vendor
  - Needs to have findings documented with the provider.
- Need to be encouraging the right things – what we know to be associated with good outcomes.
- Elements of appropriate consent is on the to-do list.
  - This is difficult given low literacy levels among some/most patient populations.
- What you are trading for convenience i.e., risk of bad outcomes. Fast food of medical care.
- Checklist of sorts for consideration about appropriateness to support this flow.
- Concepts that have stood out:
  - Intent of visit: is required to obtain an objective medical finding to inform Dx and/or treatment;
  - Meets consumer needs for “whole person care”
  - Tool for “in between care” for established patient relationship;
• Not the “fast food of medical care”
• SOAP note (subjective, objective, assessment, plan) documented
• Tying to aspects that can prove this is enhancing whole-person care over time.
• defining the “nutritious” elements of telehealth will help inform consumers about bad care received in person too?
• For chart flow - perhaps have an arrow down after telehealth visit to schedule in office visit
• Need to keep person at center of everything.
• Plan perspective:
  o Very interested in the one-off vendors compared to brick and mortar.
  o Have seen benefit for behavioral health but mixed bag for physical health.
• Telehealth should be held to the same standards as in-person care. How in past there were a lot of standards for hospitals but none for free-standing surgical centers.
• As an immunization organization a concern is the impact to routine immunization and could telehealth be contributing to the rates reduction (not just covid) we are seeing across adolescents and adults.
• Measuring quality
  o NCQA has included Telehealth in most HEDIS measures at this point.
  o If you are seeing reoccurring visits in another setting w/in some time frame there is poor quality.
• Best practices around auditing, education, and training.
  o Incorporating telehealth into regular QI reporting structure.
  o Capturing in peer review and auditing process.
  o Developing a quality tool that is standardized capturing all the high points.
  o Evaluation of successful telemedicine visits – those that started as telemedicine and ended as telemedicine instead of being converted to audio-only.

Other articles or recommendations to include:
• American family physician Telehealth musculoskeletal exam guidelines (https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/journals/afp/Yedlinsky.pdf)
• PCORI has large portfolio around telehealth (https://www.pcori.org/topics/telehealth)
• Greene, Jeremy A. “As Telemedicine Surges, Will Community Health Suffer? Boston Review (April 13, 2020) - history of medicine perspective

Action item:
• Judy to connect Ginny and Amy with the Oregon telehealth equity group.

GOOD OF THE ORDER
Dr. West thanked all for attending and adjourned the meeting.