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STAFF AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Nick Locke, MPH, Bree Collaborative

WELCOME

Nick Locke, Bree Collaborative, welcomed everyone to the Pediatric Asthma workgroup. Those present briefly introduced themselves and discussed the July minutes.

Decision: Discuss July 19th Minutes. A few workgroup members provided comments. The minutes will be edited and re-circulated.

REVIEW: PEDIATRIC ASTHMA RECOMMENDATIONS

Mr. Locke reviewed the workgroup’s previous recommendations and focus areas. Workgroup members provided comments on changes or additional recommendations for each focus area.

- Mr. Locke reviewed previous conversations on clinical control that highlighted diagnosis, severity, treatment plan, control, and metrics. Workgroup members highlighted new topics and edits for this section.
  - Asthma diagnosis was redefined as “signs and symptoms of recurrent, reversible airway obstruction”
  - Workgroup members discussed the pros and cons of dry powder inhalers (DPIs) over standard aerosol inhalers, especially the impact on carbon footprint.
    - DPIs can reduce the carbon footprint of asthma treatment
    - But, DPIs may not be suitable for children, especially children under 5. Additionally, patients may not appreciate DPIs
    - Instead, we can recommend that plans cover DPIs in addition to aerosols to increase patient choice and request that providers consider DPIs for older children and teenagers.
  - Routine asthma control visits should occur at least annually for well-controlled asthma, and more frequently for not well controlled asthma. Asthma control visits should also assess for severity intermittently.
Telehealth may be appropriate for routine prevention visits, but many workgroup members acknowledged the limitations without the ability to perform spirometry or observe the patient.

- Workgroup members agreed that telehealth is most appropriate for follow-up and asthma education.

- Workgroup members discussed how to leverage asthma metrics to improve quality of care
  - Severity and control are the most important metrics for providers and health systems to understand their asthma patients.
  - The asthma medication ratio is currently the most common health system quality metric, but new guidelines are making the AMR less relevant. An alternative measure would be the frequency of bronchodilator prescription refills.

- Mr. Locke reviewed the workgroup’s recommendations for the home environment/multicomponent interventions. Workgroup members discussed updates or additional recommendations.
  - Encouraging a smoke-free environment should be highlighted as an essential part of multi-trigger, multicomponent interventions.
  - Workgroup members added recommendations about communication between community health workers, primary care providers, and health plans.

- With little time remaining, Mr. Locke reviewed the school environment recommendations. Workgroup members briefly discussed additional recommendations for school nurses.
  - The recommendation for “better information” about asthma in schools needs to be edited.
  - The workgroup added a recommendation for asthma forms between providers and schools to include checkboxes for asthma severity and asthma control.
  - The workgroup discussed how school nurses can screen students using the Asthma Control Test.

- Workgroup members will continue reviewing recommendations at the September meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT AND GOOD OF THE ORDER

Mr. Locke invited final comments or public comments, then thanked all for attending. Mr. Locke will continue editing climate and funding recommendations to be reviewed at the next meeting. The workgroup’s next meeting will be on Tuesday, September 20th, 2022.